THE PATHOLOGY OF HOMICIDE



THE PATHOLOGY OF HOMICIDE

A VADE MECUM FOR PATHOLOGIST, PROSECUTOR AND DEFENSE COUNSEL

By

LESTER ADELSON, M.D.

Pathologist and Chief Deputy Coroner
Cuyahoga County Coroner's Office
Professor of Forensic Pathology
Case Western Reserve University
School of Medicine
Cleveland, Ohio

With a Foreword by

Samuel R. Gerber, M.D., J.D.

Coroner of Cuyahoga County Cleveland, Ohio



CHARLES C THOMAS · PUBLISHER

Springfield · Illinois · U.S.A.

Published and Distributed Throughout the World by

CHARLES C THOMAS • PUBLISHER

Bannerstone House

301-327 East Lawrence Avenue, Springfield, Illinois, U.S.A.

This book is protected by copyright. No part of it may be reproduced in any manner without written permission from the publisher.

© 1974, by CHARLES C THOMAS • PUBLISHER ISBN 0-398-03000-6

Library of Congress Catalog Card Number: 73-14511

With THOMAS BOOKS careful attention is given to all details of manufacturing and design. It is the Publisher's desire to present books that are satisfactory as to their physical qualities and artistic possibilities and appropriate for their particular use. THOMAS BOOKS will be true to those laws of quality that assure a good name and good will.

Printed in the United States of America N-1

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication Data

Adelson, Lester, 1914-

The pathology of homicide. Includes bibliographies.

1. Medical jurisprudence. 2. Homicide. I. Title. [DNLM: 1. Coroners and medical examiners. 2. Forensic medicine. 3. Homicide. W860 A231p 1974]

RA1055.A3

614'.19

73-14511

ISBN 0-398-03000-6

DEDICATIONTo my Mother and Father

FOREWORD

The author, Lester Adelson, M.D., my friend and co-worker for more than two decades in the Coroner's Office of Cuyahoga County, Ohio has introduced a new look into the field of forensic pathology. Writing incisively, he takes the reader step by step through the role of the pathologist in the investigation of homicide from the discovery of the victim to expert testimony in court.

He shows by example, repeatedly, the vital importance of the pathologist in the laboratory investigation of deaths resulting from violence or from unusual or suspicious causes. Since proof is essential in the determination of homicides, both the medical and legal aspects of evidence are reviewed in detail. The learned doctor points out the primary lesson that . . .

"Until the pathologist has demonstrated that death was produced directly or indirectly by some kind of violence or culpable negligence, there is no homicide to investigate. . . . If he misdiagnoses a non-existent homicide, he may place an innocent person in jeopardy. . . . Conversely, if he fails to give adequate weight to the part played by violence and concludes that death resulted *entirely* from natural causes, a murderer goes free, and a crime goes unpunished."

Yet this is not the only lesson to be found in this book, for it is a primer or a series of lessons that will serve as an invaluable guide both to the expert and the beginner in the field of forensic pathology. For example, the doctor concerns himself not only with the expertise of the pathologist but also with the skills and methodologies of the other professional and technical experts who become regularly or occasionally involved in the examination of known or suspected homicides. He stresses the importance of establishing the mode and manner of death as well as the cause of death. He pointedly indicates the need of the "team concept" and reviews how each expert can complement the work of another to complete the entire picture of the cause or manner of death. He confirms the necessity of positive and continued cooperation with the police and other law enforcement offices. As a background for his work, Dr. Adelson cites statistics relating to homicide by various categories, causes, and methods. He wisely explains the many avenues of life which cause persons to become involved in homicide, and then stops to point out the necessity to differentiate the homicidal death from deaths from suicide, accident or natural causes.

The author, out of his vast background of experience, deals extensively not only with the importance of the autopsy but also with its methodology.

Because of his desire to inform the pathologist with little or no forensic experience in the field, he dwells particularly on the absolute necessity of proper performance in observation in the examination of a dead body. The pathologist, he suggests, has the duty not only of determining the cause of the death but "the manner and mechanism" and find such evidence, if possible, that will "stand up in court."

His coverage of the nature of the autopsy is truly a check list of valuable knowledge for one to follow. He discusses what to look for, how the evidence must be recognized, acquired, and then preserved against misuse or abuse. In this respect, he outlines the scheme for submitting evidence to the FBI laboratory, and how to make use of its facilities.

Again, realizing from his many experiences in court the importance of the right kind of evidence, Dr. Adelson concludes his treatise with guidelines as to the handling of evidence for use in court, and then refers to points to be considered when the pathologist is to be called as a witness.

It is a distinct pleasure to be asked to introduce this work of Dr. Adelson because it reveals his expertness not only in this special field of medicine but as a lucid and accomplished writer. What he has put down on these pages will prove to be invaluable to men now in, or entering, the new field of forensic pathology.

SAMUEL R. GERBER

PREFACE

IN HIS DAY-TO-DAY WORK, the forensic pathologist deals with a broad variety of natural and violent deaths. He is constantly reminded and repeatedly impressed with the age-old truth which tells him that although there is only one way in which to be born, there are many ways in which to die.

The major portion of the forensic pathologist's case load is made up of persons of all ages who have died suddenly and unexpectedly from natural causes, i.e., diseases, whether they be degenerative, infectious, metabolic or neoplastic. The remainder of his "patients" have died as a result of suicide, accidents of all types, and violence of undetermined origin as well as from homicidal trauma.

Every unnatural death represents a tragic waste of human life and human resources. For those of us who have a high regard and a deep respect for the sanctity of human life and for the meaningfulness of human existence, the unending stream (indeed, it often seems like a torrent) of bloody, broken and poisoned victims of lethal violence is a recurring, distressing spectacle which is simultaneously deeply disturbing emotionally and strongly challenging professionally.

Although any violent death is deplorable, those wherein a life has been taken by the malicious, purposeful act of another are generally of the greatest concern to the law enforcement authorities and, if we can believe the news media, of greatest interest to the community generally.

The current trend toward the utilization of violent means to provide "solutions" to all types of controversies and disagreements, whether they be public and political or personal and private, is an inescapable fact of contemporary life. The increased propensity toward the employment of lethal violence in connection with such "ordinary" crimes as armed robbery and burglary, and the mounting frequency of assassinations (and attempted assassinations) involving prominent and obscure citizens alike gives any consideration of homicide an immediacy matched by comparatively few other aspects of day-to-day life on today's current scene.

This volume represents a distillation, as it were, of my experience with the medicolegal aspects of homicide investigation, acquired by more than two decades of active personal involvement in the daily activities of the Cuyahoga County Coroner's Office which serves Cleveland, Ohio and its suburbs. I believe that the self-evident importance of the pathologist's role in and his contributions to successful homicide investigation will be

apparent to the reader as he thoughtfully peruses these pages and reflects on their life and death implications for the persons immediately concerned and for society as a whole.

The social, historical, judicial, moral, economic and humanitarian ramifications of the constantly mounting number and rate of homicides provide a more than adequate justification for the appearance of this volume. Certainly the acquisition of sound medical evidence to aid in the adjudication of this most serious of crimes deserves the best efforts of the laboratory physician.

In addition to addressing myself to the task of imparting the critical aspects of the "know how" of the medical phases of homicide investigation, I have dwelt at some modest length on the equally important aspect of "know why." As a thoughtful, skilled and key participant and operator in this highly complex area, the "occasional" forensic pathologist should be acutely and sensibly aware of the rationale underlying the various facets of his professional responsibilities and duties. Only when he is thus thoroughly informed does his work take on the significance and relevance which are the hallmarks of his truly crucial contributions to the correct solution to these threats to the peace, safety and dignity of his community.

Finally, the ultimate justification for my writing this volume is the respect and regard which all of us owe to the importance of every human life. The untimely, unnatural and unjustified termination of any human life is a challenge and a threat to the basic moral and ethical values which form the bedrock of what we choose to call our "human and humanitarian society." When murder screams her alarums, they cry out for a valid response. This volume hopefully provides part of that response.

L.A.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

ALTHOUGH I AM SOLELY RESPONSIBLE for the authorship of this volume (and for its faults and shortcomings), the task of completing it could not have been accomplished without the unstinting help of many of my colleagues and dedicated co-workers at the Cuyahoga County Coroner's Office whose contributions I am delighted to acknowledge.

Dr. Charles S. Hirsch, Deputy Coroner and Associate Pathologist, is responsible for the major portion of the photomicrographs, and Messrs. Sidney J. Pancner, Michael F. Walsh and Frank J. Kukla, the staff of the Photographic Department at the Cuyahoga County Coroner's Office, took many of the gross photographs and processed all of the illustrations in this monograph. A special word of appreciation is extended to the memory of the late Lawrence Johnson, who worked with me early in my association with the Coroner's Office in launching the photographic library which is now so valuable an asset to this institution.

The roentgenograms were taken by Messrs. James E. Roberson and Ernest C. Duncan, who also provided highly skilled assistance in the Autopsy Room with the anatomic dissections on which much of this volume is based. The roentgenographic diagnoses and interpretations were made by Dr. Benjamin Kaufman, Consulting Roentgenologist to the Cuyahoga County Coroner's Office.

The chemical data originated in the Toxicology Laboratory of the Cuyahoga County Coroner's Office, where the analyses were carried out under the supervision of Dr. Irving Sunshine, Chief of the Toxicology Department.

Non-anatomic aspects of homicide investigation including such features as the grouping of known and suspected blood stains, investigation of known and suspected seminal stains, and the scrupulous study of the clothing of homicide victims and their alleged assailants were performed by Miss Mary E. Cowan and her associates in the Trace Evidence Department of the Cuyahoga County Coroner's Office.

The numerical data are based largely on figures taken from the annual statistical reports of the Cuyahoga County Coroner's Office, veritable treasure houses of accurate and painstakingly accumulated information, prepared by the Statistical Department of the Office.

It is a pleasure for me to express my gratitude to the law enforcement agencies in Cuyahoga County, including the several municipal police de-

partments, the Sheriff's Detective Bureau, and the Ohio State Highway Patrol, with whom I have worked constantly and intimately during my years of association with the Coroner's Office. A special word of appreciation is richly deserved by the members of the Homicide Unit, the Scientific Investigation Unit, the Accident Prevention Bureau and the Hit-Skip Unit of the Cleveland Police Department. All are staffed by true professionals who answer the challenges of homicide investigation with exemplary displays of skill, ingenuity, imagination and dedication.

I am deeply indebted to Mr. John T. Corrigan, the Prosecuting Attorney of Cuyahoga County and his predecessor, the late Mr. Frank J. Cullitan, and to their many Assistant Prosecutors, too numerous to name individually, with whom I have been associated in the courtroom phases of homicide adjudication. They have been excellent teachers, and extremely patient and understanding in helping me to learn to become a "good witness." In this same context, I should like to express my appreciation to the Judiciary of the Court of Common Pleas of Cuyahoga County and to the Judges on the various municipal court benches in whose courtrooms I have testified for their many courtesies over the years. Not the least of my respected mentors in courtroom technique and comportment have been the members of the Defense Bar who have cross-examined me during my numerous appearances in the forensic arena. They, too, have my gratitude.

To Dr. Samuel R. Gerber, Coroner of Cuyahoga County since 1936 and my highly esteemed superior at the Coroner's Office, I am indebted for the scholarly and thoughtful Foreword which graces this volume. He also enhanced the accuracy of the manuscript by his meticulous proofreading, a task truly above and beyond the call of his duties.

A word of deep and most sincere appreciation to my respected teacher, loyal friend and former Chief in the Department of Pathology at Case Western Reserve University School of Medicine, Dr. Alan R. Moritz, now Professor Emeritus, for his constant encouragement and invaluable suggestions.

Dr. John R. Carter, my current Chief in the Department of Pathology, has also provided me with encouragement and many ideas which have aided immeasurably in the production of this volume. I am deeply in his debt for his repeated acts of kindness and his patience during the years I have labored on this opus.

My thanks to the W.B. Saunders Company, Callaghan & Company, and the Williams and Wilkins Company for their permitting me to use previously published photographic material as noted in the legends of the appropriate figures.

Dr. Gordon Stairs, Entymologist at Ohio State University, identified the maggot in Fig. IV-14. Mr. Carl Paulits, Senior Medical Photographer at the

Institute of Pathology of Case Western Reserve University School of Medicine, supplied Figures VIII-26A and VIII-26B.

Although there is no specific reference to them as such in the bibliographic citations at the end of each chapter, I have drawn heavily on informative outlines prepared by my predecessors and successors at the now defunct Department of Legal Medicine at Harvard Medical School. Here I spent a most rewarding fourteen-month Fellowship, being exposed to the challenges and initiated into the gratifications of a career in Forensic Pathology. The outlines were prepared to guide the students attending the Seminars on Homicide Investigation, organized by the late Mrs. Frances Glessner Lee, the benefactress of the Department. They have been invaluable to me in the writing of this volume.

Mrs. Barbara E. Burtch, my faithful amanuensis over the years of my association with the Cuyahoga County Coroner's Office, has been most helpful in assisting me with many of the tedious details which are an inescapable feature of writing a volume of this type, and Mrs. Marlene J. Orlando has been a model of patience, persistence and accuracy in typing and retyping the many drafts of the manuscript. Miss Lucille Smith and Mrs. Mary Ellen Laycock also contributed to the secretarial efforts.

To all those whom I have named and to the others whose names I may have inadvertently overlooked, many, many thanks.

L.A.

CONTENTS

		Page
	ord	
	2	
Acknow	wledgments	xi
Chapter		
I.	HOMICIDE AND THE PATHOLOGIST	3
II.	THE FORENSIC POSTMORTEM EXAMINATION AND THE	
	Medicolegal Autopsy	33
III.	THE MEDICAL ASPECTS OF IDENTIFICATION	110
IV.	CLOCK AND CALENDAR IN HOMICIDE INVESTIGATION	151
V.	Homicide by Firearms	188
VI.	HOMICIDE BY CUTTING AND STABBING	319
VII.	HOMICIDE BY BLUNT VIOLENCE	378
VIII.	HOMICIDE BY CERVICAL COMPRESSION AND BY DROWNING	
	"Asphyxial Deaths"	521
IX.	HOMICIDE BY FIRE - THE INCENDIARY HOMICIDE	576
X.	THE FATALLY ABUSED CHILD — INFANTICIDE AND THE	
	LETHAL MALTREATMENT SYNDROME	617
XI.	Rape-Homicide and Other "Sex Murders"	663
XII.	FATAL CRIMINAL ABORTION	687
XIII.	Murder by Poison	725
XIV.	Infrequent, Unusual and Rare Homicides	876
XV.	ETHYL ALCOHOL AND HOMICIDE	883
XVI.	The Pathologist as Witness	919
		071

THE PATHOLOGY OF HOMICIDE

CHAPTER I

HOMICIDE AND THE PATHOLOGIST

INTRODUCTION

The term homicide (literally, the killing of a human being) embraces every mode of violent death by which one person's life is taken by another. It is defined legally as the destruction of human life by the act, agency, procurement or culpable omission of some other person or persons. It can result from an act or from failure to perform an act where the duty to act is imposed by law. Thus, where the law places on the parent or guardian the responsibility for looking after the child's health and well-being, failure to provide essential food or medical care which results in death is homicide. Included in these homicides are deaths from starvation and from medically treatable diseases whose obvious presence was wilfully ignored by the adult in charge of the child.

Homicide is the most serious crime committed against persons, and its grave implications make its detection, solution and adjudication matters of vital importance to every citizen and to the entire community as well as to law enforcement authorities, judiciary and bar. Civilized society has always reacted with a mixture of horror and macabre fascination to the unlawful taking of a human life while paradoxically accepting with comparative equanimity the wholesale slaughter of the battlefield. Confronted by a criminally violent death, society demands that the assailant be promptly identified and apprehended. After he has been taken into custody and tried for his crime, punishment is usually severe if he is found guilty.

Other than for treason, which can be viewed as an attempt to "murder" or destroy the state, "man-slaying" is the ultimate crime.

Homicide Defined

The *crime* of homicide in all its various forms (murder and manslaughter) and degrees is the creation of a statute, inasmuch as a crime must be clearly designated by a formally enacted law. However, homicide has been condemned from time immemorial, even in cultures where human life has not been considered especially sacred or valuable.

Criminal Homicide

Homicides are either *criminal* or *non-criminal*. The former encompasses violent deaths which are legally classified as murder (in the first or second degree) or manslaughter. Justifiable or excusable homicides constitute the non-criminal group.

Justifiable Homicide

Homicides are justifiable when they are carried out by law enforcement officers legally performing their duties when acting under competent authority. Examples include homicides arising in the course of retaking fleeing felons, making legal arrests or preventing the commission of a felony.

Persons not professionally involved in law enforcement may commit justifiable homicides in resisting attempts at murder or serious harm to themselves or to someone else, or in defending their homes or property. In taking some other person's life in self-defense, the individual must truly believe that he stands in imminent danger of death or serious injury and that his only salvation is to kill his assailant. The slayer must not have provoked the quarrel or been the aggressor in creating the danger he seeks to overcome by his self-defensive acts.

Excusable Homicide

A homicide is excusable when accident or mishap results in the unanticipated and unintended death of some other person. Deaths falling into this category must have occurred as a result of a lawful act carried out in a lawful way with lawful means.

Some Dimensions of the Homicide Problem in the United States

The Federal Bureau of Investigation reported that there were an estimated 15,810 homicides in the United States in 1970, an increase of 1,220 over the 14,590 recorded in 1969, a rise of eight percent. The long term trend in homicide reveals an increase from 7,942 in 1950 to 9,000 in 1960 to 15,810 in 1970. The rise in the past decade was seventy-six percent.

The homicide *rate* in 1970 was 7.8 per 100,000 inhabitants, a rise from the rate of 7.2 per 100,000 in 1969. This represents an eight percent increase in the murder *rate* of 1970 over 1969.

The consistent uptrend both in absolute number of homicides and in homicide rates reflects the general increase in *all* crimes of violence, i.e. homicide, forcible rape, armed robbery and aggravated assault, reported in recent years. Criminal homicide accounts for slightly more than two percent of violent crime.

Analysis of the 1970 data indicates that male murder victims outnumbered female victims by a ratio of more than three to one. Nationwide, the

ratio of arrests for murder was more than five males for each female. Six of every ten victims were between twenty and forty-five years of age with thirty percent falling into the twenty to twenty-nine age group.

The fact that police authorities are powerless to prevent a large proportion of these lethal crimes derives from the circumstances and motives surrounding the fatal incident. Unlike other serious criminal offenses (e.g. burglary, robbery and kidnapping), criminal homicide is, to a large extent, an intimate, personal crime as demonstrated by the fact that most homicide victims are killed by close relatives, friends and acquaintances. (One may kill one's wife, husband, child, brother, fiancé or best friend. One rarely robs them at gunpoint, kidnaps them or burglarizes their homes.) Killings in the family unit constituted twenty-five percent of all homicides in 1970. In over half these incidents the principals were husband and wife, with wives making up more than half the victims. Killings of children by parents (filicide) constituted fifteen percent of intrafamily slayings.

About one in every fourteen homicides (seven percent) resulted from romantic triangles or lovers' quarrels. The female was the victim in fifty-five percent of the latter. However, when a third party complicated the romantic triangle, ninety-three percent of the victims were men.

The second largest group of homicides in 1970 was made up of so-called "felony-homicides," i.e. killings committed in connection with such other serious crimes as robbery, burglary, rape, kidnapping and arson. Such crimes comprised twenty-nine percent of the overall homicide incidence, an increase from twenty-seven percent in 1969 and twenty-five percent in 1968. Over the years, less than three percent of all homicides have been attributed to "injury by intervention by police."

Firearms continue to be the predominant weapon with sixty-five percent of all homicide victims killed by guns. In fifty-two percent the weapon was a handgun, in eight percent it was a shotgun, and in five percent it was a rifle. Cutting and stabbing weapons were used in nineteen percent, other weapons (blunt objects, poison, arson, explosives and drowning) in eight percent, and personal weapons such as hands, fists and feet were involved in the remainder.

From the police standpoint, more homicides are cleared or "cleaned up" by arrest than is any other type of serious criminal offense. In 1970 approximately eighty-six percent of all criminal homicides were "solved" in the sense that the assailant was identified and apprehended (or gave himself up), and the issue of innocence or guilt was adjudicated. This high figure for solutions in homicide far exceeds that attained for such crimes as burglary, robbery or auto theft. The fact that the person responsible for causing death was identified and taken into custody in almost nine of every ten homicides means that *medical* testimony is required regularly in connection with

judicial proceedings where it aids in the just and proper disposition of whatever *legal* issues are to be adjudicated.

ENTER THE PATHOLOGIST

The word *homicide* carries a double connotation—biological (or medical) and legal. The *medical* criterion of homicide is conclusive demonstration that death was violent, i.e. caused by injury. Demonstration that the lethal violence arose from an (unlawful) act, agency, procurement or culpable omission of another person satisfies the *legal* requirement.

The importance of determining the cause of death brings into sharp focus the major though not sole function of the physician on the homicide investigating team. The very nature of this key responsibility—lucid, incontrovertible exposition of lethal physical or chemical damage—indicates that the physician best suited for this assignment is the anatomic pathologist.

To discuss a physician's role in homicide investigation appears to be a bald contradiction in terms. Here is medicine, a humanitarian and scientific discipline concerned with observation, study and treatment of persons who are ill or hurt. There stands homicide with its glaring connotation of criminally violent death. Yet murder and medicine come into intimate contact in the person of the pathologist who helps investigate any death in which (unlawful) violence is known or alleged to have been involved. Establishment of the cause of death is but one facet of his overall contribution to the solution of the case. He must simultaneously search for, recognize, acquire and preserve evidence present in or on the body which may bear upon the apprehension and conviction of those who committed the crime. Objective data which exonerate an innocent suspect are sought for and accurately documented with equal vigor and zeal.

From his observations, study and analysis of the fatal end-result, the pathologist can often deduce critical facts and opinions which are uniquely valuable for reconstructing the circumstances surrounding the lethal incident and for re-creating the incident itself. This latter portion of the pathologist's contribution can be regarded as helping in elucidating the pathogenesis of the homicidal incident.

The Pathology of Homicide

The phrases pathology of homicide and pathology of trauma, though related, are not synonymous. The latter is concerned with the cellular, tissue, organ and total bodily responses to injury, whatever the modality of violence responsible for the injury (e.g. mechanical, thermal, chemical, electrical etc.), the manner of its origin (i.e. homicidal, suicidal, accidental or undetermined), and whether the victim survived. The pathology of homicide

includes the foregoing biological phenomena and responses plus such essential criteria as:

- 1. The injured person died from trauma.
- 2. The violence was (unlawfully) inflicted by a second person (or persons) with the intent to do bodily harm.
- 3. Judicial proceedings are required to adjudicate the innocence or guilt of the person (s) responsible for death.

The pathologist now shifts the scene of his professional activities from his accustomed workplaces in the autopsy room and microscope bench to the unfamiliar locale of the courtroom.

The Corpus Delicti

The term *corpus delicti*, literally the *body of the offense* or the *body of the crime*, is often used erroneously to designate the physical body of the victim of homicide. The *corpus delicti* of homicide is the fact that a person died from unlawful violence.

Proving a charge of homicide involves proof of two propositions: first, an unlawful lethal act was performed, and second, it was done by the person or persons charged with the crime and none other.

The Hospital Pathologist and Homicide

Currently and for the foreseeable future, the number of physicians in the United States who practice forensic pathology as their sole or primary professional activity is small. At the same time, the number of deaths which require skilled anatomic study from a medicolegal standpoint is large and constantly growing larger. These deaths are too numerous and too widely scattered to permit the decedents to be autopsied by one of the limited number of career forensic medical investigators, even in these days of jet air travel. Accordingly, the hospital pathologist must place his professional skills at the disposal of the law enforcement authorities in his own community at least. Autopsies performed by non-medical persons or even by physicians not solidly grounded in gross and microscopic anatomic pathology are hazardous and frequently unreliable. If critically demanding professional work of this type is permitted to fall into the hands of persons who are not truly "professionals," tragic miscarriages of justice must inevitably occur.

This volume is designed to serve as a vade mecum for the "occasional" forensic pathologist, the practicing hospital pathologist for whom the performance of a medicolegal autopsy is a relatively infrequent event. Because he lacks a broad background of experience in the anatomic aspects of violent death and is unfamiliar with the non-medical laboratory disciplines

commonly utilized in their investigation, even the best trained and best intentioned hospital pathologist will probably commit serious errors of observation, omission or interpretation as he goes about this professional duty. Such errors will, all too often, interfere with proper adjudication of the forensic issues, an eventuality which carries the frightening possibility of accusation (and conviction) of an innocent person as well as the unfortunate and erroneous acquittal of one who is guilty.

The Pathologist as a Member of the Homicide Investigating Team

When the *hospital* pathologist performs an autopsy in an instance of known or suspected homicide, he becomes *temporarily* a *forensic* pathologist. As such, he is a member of a team of experts, each of whom should regard his part of the investigation as a challenge involving a series of problems requiring solutions and a series of questions requiring answers. An old poem summarizes the multifaceted queries posed by homicides (and other grave offenses).

Quis, quid, ubi, quibus, auxilis, cur, quomodo, quando? Who, what, where, with what, with whose help, why, how, when? What was the crime, who did it, when was it done and where? How done and with what motive; who in the deed did share?

Close teamwork is essential for solving any complex crime, and *every* homicide is a complex crime. Some are merely less complex than others. "Simple" homicides are non-existent.

Every member of the homicide team must know not only what is expected of him but also why he must carry out his portion of the investigation in a particular way.

The Detective

The detective faces the difficulties, frustrations and dangers of tracing and apprehending suspects, finding reliable witnesses and attempting to get valid information from people who don't want to talk to him because of fear, ignorance or complicity. He must deal simultaneously with cranks and crackpots who seemingly always have a ready and rich supply of wild tips and misleading information, none of which can be ignored without "checking out" lest it have some grain of truth or value.

Interrogation without intimidation is the working rule. Interviewing techniques are employed to ascertain facts, to sift truth from untruth, and to probe cautiously without impairing the ultimate forensic value and validity of the facts and data he elicits. Evidence suitable for presentation and acceptance in court is the sought-after goal.