APHASIA REHABILITATION

APHASIA REHABILITATION

An Auditory and Verbal Task Hierarchy

By

DEBORAH ROSS, M.A., C.C.C. and SARA SPENCER, M.S., C.C.C.

Speech Pathologists Department of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation Sacramento Medical Center University of California, Davis Sacramento, California



CHARLES C THOMAS • PUBLISHER Springfield • Illinois • U.S.A.

Published and Distributed Throughout the World by CHARLES C THOMAS • PUBLISHER Bannerstone House 301-327 East Lawrence Avenue, Springfield, Illinois, U.S.A.

This book is protected by copyright. No part of it may be reproduced in any manner without written permission from the publisher.

© 1980, by CHARLES C THOMAS • PUBLISHER

ISBN 0-398-04031-1 (cloth) ISBN 0-398-04024-9 (paper) Library of Congress Catalog Card Number: 79-24617

With THOMAS BOOKS careful attention is given to all details of manufacturing and design. It is the Publisher's desire to present books that are satisfactory as to their physical qualities and artistic possibilities and appropriate for their particular use. THOMAS BOOKS will be true to those laws of quality that assure a good name and good will.

Liobrary of Congress Cataloging in Publication Data Ross, deborah, 1950-Aphasia rehabiliation. Bibliography: p. 1. Aphasia—Rehabilitation. I. Spencer, Sara, 1950- joint author. II. Title. RC425.R67 616.8' 552'06 79-24617 ISBN 0-398-04031-1 ISBN 0-398-04024-9 pbk.

> Printed in the United States of America Wm-11

INTRODUCTION

This clinician's manual for the treatment of the aphasic adult contains a selection of treatment tasks for the two primary communicative modalities—auditory processing and verbal expression. The manual is divided into two major sections (auditory and verbal) with each section containing activities presented in a task hierarchy.

The manual was developed primarily for clinicians' use. It is both extensive and comprehensive in that stimulus material for all levels of aphasic involvement (from marked to mild) is contained within each task hierarchy. It is portable and efficient; a score sheet and all necessary materials are contained within the manual.

Although this manual is written with the aphasic adult in mind, selected tasks are also appropriate for apractic and dysarthric patients and those who are cognitively impaired. Suggestions for specific application are included in each section.

,

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are greatly indebted to three special persons who contributed to the completion of this project. We are grateful to Susan Slakey and Wendy Walker for their excellent and clear illustrations. We wish to thank Julia Halladay Robinson for her many hours of typing and editing for the completion of this manual.

.

.

CONTENTS

Page

Introduction	V
Acknowledgments	viii

SECTION ONE

AUDITORY PROCESSING

Introduction	5
Single Unit Processing	9
Two Unit Processing	13
Three Unit Processing	
Multiple Unit Processing	53
Paragraph Comprehension	87

SECTION TWO

VERBAL EXPRESSION

Introduction)3
Single Word Responses)7
Two and Three Word Responses15	55
Sentence Formulation	35
Complex Sentence Formulation)9
Paragraph Formulation	27
Suggested Reading and Materials	37
Appendix	39



APHASIA REHABILITATION

SECTION ONE

AUDITORY PROCESSING

INTRODUCTION

SINGLE UNIT PROCESSING Identification of Single Objects Named Answering Single Unit Yes-No Questions

TWO UNIT PROCESSING

Answering Personal Two Unit Yes-No Questions Following Two Unit Body Part Commands Following Two Unit Commands with Visual Stimuli Identification of Objects Described by Function Identification of Objects Described by Other Characteristics Identification of Two Objects Named Serially Answering Two Unit Yes-No Questions

THREE UNIT PROCESSING

Following Three Unit Body Part Commands Following Three Unit Commands with Visual Stimuli Identification of Three Objects Named Serially Answering Three Unit Yes-No Questions

MULTIPLE UNIT PROCESSING

Following Multiunit Body Part Commands Following Multiunit Commands with Visual Stimuli Answering Multiunit Yes-No Questions Following Multiunit Commands—*Temporal Relations* Following Multiunit Commands—*Spatial Relations* Following If-Then Commands with Visual Stimuli Following If-Then Body Part Commands Answering Multiunit Yes-No Questions—*Comparatives* Answering Multiunit Yes-No Questions—*Temporal Relations* Answering Multiunit Yes-No Questions—*Spatial Relations*

PARAGRAPH COMPREHENSION

My Grandmother The Oldest Man Aphasia Rehabilitation

Cauliflower McDonald's Hamburgers Horseradish Howard Hughes Monaco Nantucket The Dinner Michael Malloy

4

INTRODUCTION

This section of the manual is focused on drills designed to strengthen the auditory processing modality. The treatment tasks developed and selected for this section include:

- 1. Tasks requiring single unit processing.
 - a. Identification of objects named
 - b. Answering yes-no questions
- 2. Tasks requiring two unit processing.
 - a. Identification of objects named
 - b. Identification of objects described
 - c. Following two unit commands
 - d. Answering yes-no questions
- 3. Tasks requiring three unit processing.
 - a. Identification of objects named
 - b. Following three unit commands
 - c. Answering yes-no questions
- 4. Tasks requiring multiple unit processing.
 - a. Answering yes-no questions containing comparatives, temporal relations, spatial relations, and if-then situations
 - b. Following multiple unit commands
- 5. Paragraph comprehension.

These treatment tasks were selected to strengthen auditory processing deficits of retention, sequencing, slow rise time, intermittent auditory imperception, reduced information capacity, noise buildup, and shifting ability. The treatment tasks are presented in a task hierarchy, first introducing single units of information and progressing to multiple units of information, thus strengthening the aphasic adults' potential capacity for processing auditory information.

The following variables were considered when establishing this task hierarchy:

- 1. Length of Stimuli. The number of words in the stimuli, including small functor words as well as the number of substantive units contained in the stimuli, were considered in the stimuli development and placement in the hierarchy.
- 2. Semantic complexity of stimuli. A stimuli's use in the English language was considered an important factor. High frequency words are known to be easier to process than low frequency words.
- 3. Syntactic complexity of stimuli. Treatment tasks were developed and selected with syntactic structures in mind. Such structures include