

CANCER AND THE FAMILY CAREGIVER

CANCER AND THE FAMILY CAREGIVER

Distress and Coping

By

ORA GILBAR

*School of Social Work, Faculty of Social Welfare and Health Studies
University of Haifa*

and

HASIDA BEN-ZUR

*School of Social Work, Faculty of Social Welfare and Health Studies
University of Haifa*



Charles C Thomas

P U B L I S H E R • L T D .

SPRINGFIELD • ILLINOIS • U.S.A.

Published and Distributed Throughout the World by

CHARLES C THOMAS • PUBLISHER, LTD.
2600 South First Street
Springfield, Illinois 62704

This book is protected by copyright. No part of
it may be reproduced in any manner without
written permission from the publisher.

©2002 by CHARLES C THOMAS • PUBLISHER, LTD.

ISBN 0-398-07290-6 (hard)
ISBN 0-398-07291-4 (paper)

Library of Congress Catalog Card Number: 2002020634

*With THOMAS BOOKS careful attention is given to all details of manufacturing
and design. it is the Publisher's desire to present books that are satisfactory as to their
physical qualities and artistic possibilities and appropriate for their particular use.
THOMAS BOOKS will be true to those laws of quality that assure a good name
and good will.*

Printed in the United States of America
SR-R-3

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Gilbar, Ora.

Cancer and the family caregiver : distress and coping / by Ora Gilbar and Hasida Ben-Zur.
p. cm.

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 0-398-07290-6 (hard) -- ISBN 0-398-07291-4 (paper)

1. Cancer--Patients--Care. 2. Caregivers. 3. Cancer--Psychological aspects. 4. Stress
(Psychology) I. Ben-Zur, Hasida. II. Title.

RC262 .G53 2002

362.1'96994--dc21

2002020634

PREFACE

This book is the product of the authors' research and clinical practice in the field of psycho-oncology, stress, and coping for a period of over twenty years. During this time, cancer caregiving has emerged as an area of mounting importance and relevance to society in light of growing longevity and the concomitant challenges involved in caregiving to cancer patients.

Although certain aspects of the topic have been examined in the literature, primarily in the realm of family and social support, a gap exists in the discussion of caregiver distress. The book thus fills a lacuna felt by both cancer researchers and psycho-oncologists in the vital area of predicting, acknowledging, and alleviating the distress of caregivers of cancer patients. Professor Ora Gilbar's research topics and interests are in the area of psycho-oncology, and Dr. Hasida Ben-Zur's expertise is in the field of stress and coping.

The book was completed a year after the death of Professor Atara Kaplan De-Nour, of Hadassah University Hospital, Jerusalem, a path-breaking mentor to a generation of psycho-oncologists in the area of family coping with cancer. Discussions with her over a period of several years, along with the impact of her research, had an important influence on the conceptualization of the book by widening out the scope of cancer caregiving discourse.

We are grateful for the illuminating and constructive comments regarding the ethical issues discussed in the book by Professor Amiram Gafni of the Faculty of Health Sciences, Macmaster University; Dr. Abraham Mansbach, Ben-Gurion University; and Roy Gilbar, Ph.D. candidate at Queen Mary and Westfield College, University of London.

We would like to express our appreciation to the Research Authority of the University of Haifa for its sustained financial support during

the editorial and production stages of the book. Special thanks are due Judy Krausz for her invaluable editorial assistance with the book. Genevieve Breitstein produced the various drafts of the book with skill and devotion.

Our deepest thanks go to all the patients who agreed to share their feelings, perceptions, and methods of coping with the illness outcomes, as well as to their caregivers, who, by agreeing to be interviewed, assisted our work invaluablely over the years.

INTRODUCTION

Medical progress in increasing longevity has brought with it a large rise in the number of people who live in a state of chronic or terminal illness for a prolonged period of time. The outcome of this situation is that a significant proportion of the population is dependent on others in their everyday activity. Many if not most adults will become caregivers to an ill member of their family at some time, and some people will be care receivers before they themselves reach old age. Caregiving in general, and caregiving to a member of the family in particular, therefore, constitutes an important societal issue (Biegel et al., 1991).

The family has long been recognized as the best care solution, from a psychological and psychosocial point of view, for an ill person. An awareness of the vital role of the family member who provides such care, which in some cases actually contributes to enhancing the survival of the patient, has engendered increased interest in the family caregiver by both social science researchers on the one hand and health professionals on the other. Most of the extant research on caregiving focuses on the burden of the caregiver: health problems that arise, disruption of well-being, role conflict, moodiness, and financial burdens (Haley, 1997; Steketee, 1997). These effects stem from the increasing needs of the dependent family member over a long period of time, whether dependency is caused by physical or mental illness or disruptive behavior.

While a growing body of literature discusses caregiving for the elderly with Alzheimer's, dementia, mental illness, brain injury, and mental retardation, only a few studies deal with caregiving for cancer patients. Furthermore, the terminology surrounding caregiving for cancer patients differs from that of caregiving for other chronic illnesses, in that the element of social support takes on greater importance. An

explanation for this may rely on the distinctive characterization of cancer as compared to other types of chronic illness. Cancer is defined by a series of phases, all of them stressful: diagnosis, surgical intervention, adjuvant medical treatment, follow-up, recurrence, and terminal phase. The diagnosis and surgery phases are of short duration (generally not more than six weeks), while the adjuvant medical treatments can last between seven and nine months. These stages involve a threat to body image, physical independence, family role and career, in addition to a threat to life. Although in the follow-up phase patients live their lives normally, with no physical dependency and no direct threat to their family role or career, they are in a stressful situation caused by the fear of recurrence. This period depends, of course, on the prognosis and may last for years. The recurrence phase is a stressful situation caused by the awareness of the progression of the illness, heightening the threat to the patient's life. This period may be prolonged, in most cases with only brief intervals of medical treatment. In the terminal phase, both physical dependency and emotional dependency are greater, as the patient is confronted with approaching death.

The book focuses on the caregivers of cancer patients in various phases of the illness, a topic that takes on importance in light of statistics showing that three persons in four will have someone in their immediate family (parent, spouse, child) who will have cancer (American Cancer Society, 1995). The discussion of cancer-patient caregivers is divided into three aspects: theoretical (Part One), research (Part Two), and practical (Part Three) issues.

Part One presents theoretical background on the structure and progression of the caregiver role, and how caregivers cope with the illness, as explored in recent literature. This presentation is generally based on two coping-with-stress models, both of which depict the caregiver role as a stressful situation: Lazarus and Folkman's coping-with-stress model (1984), and Biegel's ABCX coping-with-stress model (Biegel et al., 1991). Chapter 1 discusses research on the factors that affect caregiver distress, including patient variables as stressors, appraisal, perception of burden, the coping process, and resources. The caregiver in the context of social support is also examined. The perception of the caregiver of cancer patients is discussed in Chapter 2, based on the premise that cancer constitutes a stressful situation for every member of the family, and especially for the primary and secondary caregiver. The chapter

presents the caregiver models for cancer patients based on the work by Lazarus and Folkman (1984), Lazarus (1999), and Biegel et al. (1991).

Part Two presents empirical research on caregiver psychological distress carried out by the authors during 1993–99. The first chapter (Chapter 3) focuses on measurement issues. It begins with a description of stress-related measures—the Brief Symptom Inventory (BSI; Derogatis, 1983), psychosocial adjustment (PSA; Ben-Zur, Gilbar, & Lev, 2001), the short version of the COPE scale (Carver et al., 1989), and social support measures. It goes on to explore measures specifically related to physical illness. Each instrument is described, and evidence for its reliability and validity is provided. In addition, Israeli normative data on the Brief Symptom Inventory (BSI) and COPE scales are described and are used in later chapters of the book as baseline levels to which caregiver distress and coping are compared. Chapter 4 presents three studies: the psychological distress and everyday psychosocial adjustment of seventy-three spouses of breast cancer patients; the distress and psychosocial adjustment to illness of forty-four spouses of gynecological cancer patients; and the distress and perceptions of burden of sixty elderly caregivers, as compared with that of the patients.

Chapter 5 describes the coping strategies of the seventy-three spouse caregivers to breast cancer patients, comparing them with the patients' strategies and testing their associations with distress. It also refers to the spouses' perceptions of each other's coping and their effects on adjustment. Chapter 6 assesses the distress and adjustment to illness of forty-one parents of adult cancer patients, and their associations with social support. Chapter 7 assesses the distress of primary caregivers of sixty-seven widowed cancer patients who died at home or in a hospice.

Each of the empirical chapters (4, 5, 6, and 7) presents theoretical background on the specific type of caregiver roles in the context of cancer—that of the spouse and the parent of an adult child; a description of the sample, research instruments and procedure; and findings and conclusions. Every study contains a description of caregiver psychological distress as measured by nine BSI subscales (Derogatis & Spencer, 1982). Caregiver distress is also compared with normative data and with patient distress. The primary goal of the research was to discover the possible associations between caregiver personal resources (e.g., age, gender, education, work, etc.), the patient's psychological dis-

stress, adjustment to illness and medical variables, and caregiver adjustment.

Part Three examines two important practical issues. The first, presented in Chapter 8, is intervention for reducing caregiver distress. Caregiver intervention and family therapy are compared through a review of the literature on intervention for chronically ill persons and for caregivers of cancer patients. Two interventions developed by the authors for reducing cancer distress are discussed: an individual program tailored to the various phases of the illness—diagnosis, medical treatment, follow-up, recurrence and the terminal phase; and a support group program for caregiver distress. Intervention programs related to the bereavement process are also explored, featuring counseling and therapy based on the model described in the literature.

The second issue, presented in Chapter 9, is the ethical question of caregiver involvement in the patient's medical decisions. The discussion focuses on doctor-patient-caregiver relationships based on three models of the doctor-patient relationship: paternalism, consumerism, and mutuality. The importance of maintaining the patient's autonomy in every stage of the relationship with the caregiver is highlighted. This issue becomes more complex as the illness progresses, when the patient's loss of physical independence exacerbates the caregiver's burden. A decision by the patient to undergo experimental medical treatment that will engender debilitating side effects and increase dependency, the patient's stated preference to die at home, or both are examples of issues that have a severe impact on caregiver burden. Although the physician is not obligated by law to take the family caregiver's interests into consideration, unless the caregiver is a court-appointed guardian, an ethical dilemma may arise over the role of caregiving as a factor in decisions regarding medical treatment. In the final chapter of the book, the authors evaluate the state of research in the cancer caregiver area and suggest directions for future study.

The book integrates three important aspects of the study of the role and problems of caregivers for cancer patients: theoretical, research, and practical issues. The analysis of these areas can be useful to clinicians, researchers, medical/psychological social workers, and nurses by familiarizing them with the spectrum of stresses experienced by cancer patients and their caregivers, and the coping methods that have proven most effective.

REFERENCES

- American Cancer Society. (1995). *Cancer facts and figures*. Atlanta: American Cancer Society.
- Ben-Zur, H., Gilbar, O., & Lev, S. (2001). Coping with breast cancer: patient, spouse and dyad models. *Psychosomatic Medicine*, 63 (1), 32–39.
- Biegel, D.E., Sales, E., & Schulz, R. (1991). *Family caregiving in chronic illness*. Newbury Park, CA: Sage.
- Carver, S.S., Scheier, M.F., & Weintraub, J.K. (1989). Assessing coping strategies: A theoretically based approach. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 56 (2), 267–288.
- Derogatis, L.R., & Lopez, M.C. (1983). *The Psychosocial Adjustment to Illness Scale: Administration, scoring and procedures manual*. Baltimore: J. Clinical Research.
- Derogatis, L.R., & Spencer, P.M. (1982). *The Brief Symptom Inventory (BSI): Administration, scoring and procedures manual*. Baltimore: J. Clinical Research.
- Haley, W.E. (1997). The family caregiver's role in Alzheimer's disease. *Neurology*, 48 (5), Suppl. 6, 25-29.
- Lazarus, R.S. (1999). *Stress and emotion: A new synthesis*. New York: Springer
- Lazarus, R.S., & Folkman, S. (1984). *Stress appraisal and coping*. New York: Springer.
- Steketee, G. (1997). Disability and family burden in obsessive-compulsive disorders. *Canadian Journal of Psychiatry*, 42 (9), 919–928.

CONTENTS

	<i>Page</i>
<i>Preface</i>	v
<i>Introduction</i>	vii
<i>List of Figures</i>	xvii
<i>List of Tables</i>	xix

PART ONE: THEORETICAL ISSUES: CAREGIVING RESEARCH AND THEORY

CHAPTER 1. CAREGIVERS OF ILL PERSONS	5
Introduction	5
Differences Between Caregiving and Social Support	6
Structural Aspects in the Caregiver Role: Role Definition and Role Conflict	7
Process Aspects in the Caregiver Role: Stress and Coping	9
Caregiver Coping with Illness: Which Caregivers Do Best?	13
Conclusions	21
References	23
CHAPTER 2. THE CAREGIVER OF THE CANCER PATIENT ..	31
Introduction	31
Cancer as a Cause of Stress	32
Patient Coping with the Threat of the Illness	36
Caregiver Coping with the Threat of the Illness	37
Cancer Caregiver Coping Models	39
Cancer Caregivers Coping with the Illness: Which Caregivers Do Best?	40
Personal Resources: Caregivers and Patients	48

Social and Family Support	51
Quality of Marital Relationship	52
Conclusions	54
References	55

PART TWO: EMPIRICAL RESEARCH: CAREGIVERS AND CANCER

CHAPTER 3. MEASUREMENT ISSUES	65
Stress Measurement	65
Response-Oriented Measurements	66
Interaction-Oriented Measures	73
Measurement of Psychosocial Adjustment to	
Physical Illness	80
Conclusions	83
References	83
CHAPTER 4. PRIMARY CAREGIVER DISTRESS:	
THREE STUDIES	87
Distress of Spouses of Breast Cancer Patients	87
Introduction	87
Research Aims and Hypotheses	91
Method	92
Results	96
Discussion	103
References	105
Distress of Spouses of Gynecological Cancer	
Patients	108
Introduction	108
Research Aims and Hypotheses	111
Method	111
Results	114
Discussion	118
References	121
Distress of Spouses of Elderly Cancer Patients	123
Introduction	123
Research Aims and Hypotheses	126
Method	126
Results	129

Discussion	131
References	133
 CHAPTER 5. PRIMARY CAREGIVER COPING WITH BREAST CANCER	
Introduction	137
Research Aims and Hypotheses	141
Method	143
Results	146
Discussion	156
References	161
 CHAPTER 6. SECONDARY CAREGIVER DISTRESS: PARENTS OF ADULT CANCER PATIENTS	
Introduction	165
Research Aims and Hypotheses	168
Method	169
Results	174
Discussion	180
References	184
 CHAPTER 7. THE SPOUSE CAREGIVER AND THE BEREAVEMENT PROCESS	
Introduction	189
Research Aims and Hypotheses	192
Method	193
Results	197
Discussion	201
References	205
 PART THREE: PRACTICAL ISSUES	
 CHAPTER 8. PSYCHOSOCIAL INTERVENTION FOR REDUCING CAREGIVER DISTRESS	
Introduction	213
Family Caregiver Intervention in the Various Phases of the Illness	218
A Problem-Solving Intervention Model of Caregiving Training	223

Intervention Program for the Bereaved Caregiver:	
Counseling and Therapy	228
Conclusion	242
References	242
 CHAPTER 9. DOCTOR-CANCER PATIENT-FAMILY CAREGIVER RELATIONSHIP AND MEDICAL ETHICS ISSUES	249
Introduction	249
Doctor–Patient Relationship Models: Implications for Family Caregiver Involvement	250
Patient Autonomy and Caregiver Involvement	257
The Doctor, the Incompetent Cancer Patient and the Family Caregiver	262
Conclusions	264
References	265
 CHAPTER 10. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS	269
Theoretical Background	269
Measurement Issues	270
Research Design Issues	271
Findings	271
Intervention	275
Ethical Issues	276
Future Directions for Family Caregiver Research	277
References	277
 <i>Name Index</i>	279
<i>Subject Index</i>	287

FIGURES

	<i>Page</i>
Figure 1.1. The Appraisal Model	10
Figure 1.2. The ABCX Model Applied to Caregiving	12
Figure 2.1. Cancer Caregiver Distress Model	41
Figure 4.1. T Score Means for Spouses and Patients for the Nine BSI Subscales and GSI in the Breast Cancer Sample	98
Figure 4.2. Path Analyses of Spouses' GSI and PSA Using Patients' Attributes as Independent Variables in the Breast Cancer Sample	101
Figure 4.3. T Score Means for Spouses and Patients for the Nine BSI Subscales and GSI	115
Figure 4.4. Path Analyses of GSI and PSA of Spouses of Cancer Patients, Using Patients' Attributes as Independent Variables	119
Figure 5.1. T Score Means of Self-Coping Strategies of Spouses and Patients	148
Figure 5.2. Means of Spouses' and Patients' Self-Coping and Perceptions of Other-Coping	149
Figure 5.3. Path Analyses for Spouses' GSI and PSA Using Self-Coping and Demographic Attributes as the Independent Variables	155
Figure 5.4. Associations of Spouses' and Patients' Self-Coping and Their Perceptions of Other-Coping with Distress and Adjustment	156
Figure 6.1. T Score Means for Parents and Patients for the Nine BSI Subscales and the GSI	176
Figure 6.2. Path Analyses of Parents' GSI and PAIS, Using Patients' and Parents' Attributes as Independent Variables	180
Figure 7.1. T Score Means of Widowers and Widows	198

Figure 7.2. Path Analyses of TRIG–present and PAIS203

Figure 9.1. The Triadic Doctor-Patient-Family Caregiver
Relationship Model251

Figure 10.1. Cancer Caregiver Distress Model273

TABLES

	<i>Page</i>
Table 3.1. Demographic Attributes of Men and Women in the Israeli Sample	70
Table 3.2. Means, Standard Deviations, Ranges and Cronbach Alpha Levels of the Israeli BSI Subscales	71
Table 3.3. Means, Standard Deviations and BSI Subscales for Israeli Men and Women	71
Table 3.4. Means and Standard Deviations of the Fourteen Coping Subscales and Two Main Coping Scales	76
Table 3.5. Results of Factor Analysis of Fourteen Coping Subscales ...	77
Table 3.6. Means and Standard Deviations for COPE Subscales for Men and Women	78
Table 4.1. Means, Standard Deviations, and Percentages of Demographic Variables for Spouses and Patients in Breast Cancer Sample	93
Table 4.2. Medical Variables and Involvement in Decision Making for Breast Cancer Sample	94
Table 4.3. Means, Standard Deviations, and Alpha Levels of BSI Subscales and BSI and PSA Total Scores for Breast Cancer Sample	95
Table 4.4. Means, Standard Deviations, and Percentages of Demographic Variables for Community Husband and Wife Sample	96
Table 4.5. Means, Standard Deviations, and Alpha Levels of Four BSI Subscales and PSA Scores for Community Husband and Wife Sample	96
Table 4.6. T Score Means, <i>t</i> Values and Correlations between Spouses' and Patients' Adjustment Scores in the Breast Cancer Sample	97

Table 4.7.	Correlations Between Demographic Variables of Spouses and Patients, Psychological Distress, and Psychosocial Adjustment in the Breast Cancer Sample	99
Table 4.8.	Correlations between Illness-Related Variables and Spouses' Adjustment in the Breast Cancer Sample	100
Table 4.9.	Comparisons and Correlations of Husbands' and Wives' T Score Means in the Community Sample	102
Table 4.10.	Means, Standard Deviations, and Percentages of Demographic Variables for Spouses and Patients	112
Table 4.11.	Means, Standard Deviations, and Alpha Levels of the BSI Subscales and Total Scores for Spouses and Patients . . .	113
Table 4.12.	Means and Standard Deviations of the Psychosocial Adjustment to Illness Scale (PAIS) for Spouses and Patients	113
Table 4.13.	T Score Means, t-Values and Correlations Between Spouses and Patients	115
Table 4.14.	Means of PAIS Subscales of Spouses and Patients	116
Table 4.15.	Pearson Correlations between Distress Levels and PAIS Subscales Scores for Spouses and Patients	116
Table 4.16.	Correlations Between Patient's and Spouse's Demographic Variables, Psychological Distress and Psychosocial Adjustment	117
Table 4.17.	Means, Standard Deviations, and Percentages of Background and Illness Variables of Spouses and Patients	128
Table 4.18.	Means, Standard Deviations and Alpha Levels for Spouses' and Patients' Measures	128
Table 4.19.	Correlations between Spouses' and Patients' Burden and Background Variables	130
Table 4.20.	Correlations between Spouses' and Patients' Attributes, IADL, GSI and Burden	130
Table 5.1.	Means of Reports on Self-Coping and Other-Coping by Spouses and Patients for Fifteen Coping Strategies	145
Table 5.2.	Means of T Scores of Spouses' and Patients' Self-Coping, t-Test Results and Pearson Correlations	147
Table 5.3.	Means and Standard Deviations of Spouses' and Patients' Problem-Focused and Emotion-Focused Self-Coping and Perceptions of Other-Coping	149

Table 5.4.	Pearson Correlations Between Spouses' and Patients' Problem-Focused and Emotion-Focused Self- and Other-Coping Scales	150
Table 5.5.	Pearson Correlations Between Spouses' and Patients' GSI, PSA, and Self- and Other-Coping Strategies	151
Table 5.6.	Pearson Correlations Between Self-Coping Strategies and Spouses' Distress and Adjustment	152
Table 5.7.	Pearson Correlations Between Perceptions of Other-Coping Strategies and Spouses' Distress and Adjustment	153
Table 5.8.	Correlations Between Spouses' and Patients' Demographic Variables, Self-Coping and Other-Coping Strategies	154
Table 5.9.	Means and t-Tests for Comparisons of Breast Cancer and Community Samples: Husbands' and Wives' Self-Coping Data	157
Table 5.10.	Means and t-Tests for Comparisons of Breast Cancer and Community Samples: Husbands' and Wives' Other-Coping Data	158
Table 6.1.	Means, Standard Deviations, and Percentages of Demographic Variables for Parents and Cancer Patients	170
Table 6.2.	Psychological Adjustment (BSI) Means of Parents and Patients	171
Table 6.3.	Means, Standard Deviations, and Alpha Levels of Parents and Patients on the PAIS	172
Table 6.4.	Means, Standard Deviations, and Alpha Levels of Parents' and Patients' Perceived Social Support (MSPSS)	172
Table 6.5.	Means, Standard Deviations and Alpha Levels of the Contact between Generations Battery (CGB) Scales	173
Table 6.6.	Perception of Assistance of Cancer Patients as Described by Parents and Patients Following Diagnosis	175
Table 6.7.	T Score Means, t-Values and Correlations between Parents' and Patients' Adjustment Scores as Measured by the BSI	176
Table 6.8.	Means, Standard Deviations, t-Tests and Correlations for Parents' and Patients' PAIS Subscales	177
Table 6.9.	Pearson Correlations Between BSI Levels and PAIS Domains for Parents and Patients	178

Table 6.10.	Correlations Between Parents' and Patients' Demographic Variables, Psychological Distress (GSI) and Psychosocial Adjustment (PAIS) Totals	179
Table 7.1.	Demographic Variables of Widow/ers	194
Table 7.2.	Demographic and Illness Variables of Deceased Patients . . .	195
Table 7.3.	Caregiver Variables	195
Table 7.4.	Means, Standard Deviations, and Alpha Levels of the BSI Scales	196
Table 7.5.	Means, Standard Deviations, and Alpha Levels of PAIS, TRIG, and Communication Scales	197
Table 7.6.	T Score Means of Widowers and Widows and <i>t</i> -Tests Results	198
Table 7.7.	Means of PAIS, Bereavement (TRIG) and Communication Measures by Gender	199
Table 7.8.	Correlations between Demographic or Illness Variables and GSI, PAIS, and TRIG	200
Table 7.9.	Pearson Correlations between PAIS Subscales and GSI, Bereavement (TRIG), and Communication Measures	201
Table 7.10.	Pearson Correlations between Distress, Bereavement (TRIG), and Communication Measures	201

CANCER AND THE FAMILY CAREGIVER

Part One

**THEORETICAL ISSUES:
CAREGIVING RESEARCH
AND THEORY**

Chapter 1

CAREGIVERS OF ILL PERSONS

INTRODUCTION

Over a thousand studies have been published to date on the caregiver role, caregiver distress, and caregiver burden. They include research on type of population in need of care (care receiver), type of caregiver (primary/secondary), variables that predict caregiver distress, caregiver role or tasks, and care receiver state (physical and mental). Research until the early 1980s dealt intensively with caregivers of the elderly, especially those in a state of cognitive impairment (i.e., those with Alzheimer's and dementia), and with caregivers of chronically ill children, especially those with physical disabilities and mental retardation. Research on caregiving to patients in the terminal phase of illness (e.g., cancer and AIDS) became a focus in the latter 1980s and thereafter. During the 1990s, a large number of studies also dealt with caregivers of patients with brain damage/injury and mental health problems.

The intensity of caring for an ill member of the family affects the entire immediate family, and often more distant relatives and friends as well. The variations and consequences of caregiving are distributed through the population equally, that is, adult-child, spousal, child-parent, and so forth, with far-reaching implications. It is a concern that extends beyond the boundaries of clinical interest, which was the domain of early research. Increasingly, it raises both logistic and sociological issues in the health and social service agencies of every community. Caregiving, therefore, is an issue that needs to be understood on a firm theoretical and empirical basis.