COURT SECURITY

COURT SECURITY

A Guide for Post 9-11 Environments

By

TONY L. JONES



CHARLES C THOMAS • PUBLISHER, LTD. Springfield • Illinois • U.S.A.

Published and Distributed Throughout the World by

CHARLES C THOMAS • PUBLISHER, LTD. 2600 South First Street Springfield, Illinois 62704

This book is protected by copyright. No part of it may be reproduced in any manner without written permission from the publisher.

©2003 by CHARLES C THOMAS • PUBLISHER, LTD.

ISBN 0-398-07419-4 (hard) ISBN 0-398-07420-8 (paper)

Library of Congress Catalog Card Number: 2003048428

With THOMAS BOOKS careful attention is given to all details of manufacturing and design. It is the Publisher's desire to present books that are satisfactory as to their physical qualities and artistic possibilities and appropriate for their particular use. THOMAS BOOKS will be true to those laws of quality that assure a good name and good will.

> Printed in the United States of America SRR-3

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Jones, Tony L.
Court security : a guide for post 9-11 environments / by Tony L. Jones.
p. cm.
Includes bibliographical references and index.
ISBN 0-398-07419-4 (hard) -- ISBN 0-398-07420-8 (paper)
1. Courthouses--Security measures--United States.
2. Terrorism--United States--Prevention. I. Title

KF8733.7J66 2003 347.73'1068'4--dc21

2003048428

This book is dedicated to the people who have experienced courtroom violence. These people have experienced the incalculable costs of death, emotional distress, physical injury, facility destruction, disruption of legal processes, negative public perception, civil/criminal lawsuits, and the resulting embarrassment these acts generate. These people need to know that they are not alone; nearly everyone in a community is often touched in some way when courtroom violence erupts.

INTRODUCTION

Courtrooms are intended to be a place for peaceful, calm, reasoned resolution of disputes, and most courts are safe. However, in recent years, there has been a sharp rise in acts of violence in the courts. These acts of violence range from minor disturbances and physical assaults to senseless acts of murder, injury, and mass destruction, and are used as a means of expressing personal anger or public dissent. Security risks at a courthouse are perhaps more visceral than in other environments, running the gambit from vandals at night, to revenge-seeking relatives, to galleries packed with rival gang members during a murder trial. One must also consider the number of arrestees awaiting bond, trial, or sentencing. At any time, there are angry people entering and leaving a courthouse complex. For example, the Supreme Court of Ohio and the Ohio Judicial Conference Committee on Court Security conducted a survey of court officials in 1994 and found the following statistics:

Types of Incidents (in order of frequency)

- 1. Escape or escape attempt
- 2. Physical assault with no weapon used
- 3. Disorderly conduct
- 4. Physical assault with a weapon
- 5. Suicide or suicide attempt
- 6. Vandalism
- 7. Hostage situation
- 8. Bomb threat
- 9. Theft
- 10. Bomb explosion

Courts in Which Incidents Occurred

- 1. General trial court, criminal-54%
- 2. Juvenile and domestic relations–17%

- 3. Municipal court-11%
- 4. General trial court, civil-8%
- 5. Justice/arraignment/first appearance courts-6%
- 6. Small claims court-8%
- 7. Traffic court-1%

Location of Incidents

- 1. Courtroom-41%
- 2. Lobby-18%
- 3. Offices-12%
- 4. Holding cell-9%
- 5. Public hallway-8%
- 6. Judges' chambers-4%
- 7. Elevator-2%
- 8. Jury room-1%

Type of Case Being Heard at the Time of the Incident

- 1. Criminal-56%
- 2. Divorce-11%
- 3. Juvenile offense-8%
- 4. Traffic-4%
- 5. Other domestic-9%
- 6. All other-18%

Victims of the Incident

- 1. Bailiff or court security officer-81%
- 2. Judge-24%
- 3. Defendant-8%
- 4. Plaintiff-6%
- 5. Witness–5%
- $6. \ \operatorname{Prosecutor}{-5\%}$
- 7. Defense attorney-3%
- 8. Spectators and others-18%

Injuries Sustained

- 1. No injuries-54%
- 2. Minor injuries/no medical attention-19%

viii

Introduction

- 3. Minor medical attention-10%
- 4. Serious injury/hospitalized-10%
- 5. Death-4%

Stage of Case at the Time of the Incident

- 1. Sentencing-23%
- 2. Arraignment-18%
- 3. Trial-13%
- 4. Motions-9%
- 5. Return of verdict-6%
- 6. Other and unknown-31%

Reason for the Incident

- 1. Revenge–39%
- 2. Escape-39%
- 3. Intimidation-14%
- 4. Influence court-7%
- 5. Political-1%

Disposition of the Assailant

- 1. Arrested-68%
- 2. Suicide-2%
- 3. Escaped-2%
- 4. Injured-2%
- 5. Killed-1%

Even if people decide to ignore these statistics, they cannot ignore the fact that the world will never be the same after September 11, 2001. In the immediate aftermath of the events of September 11, 2001, the security action of choice was to place armed officers virtually everywhere. Uniformed police were suddenly besieged to protect so-called critical facilities and infrastructures of every description. The country asked these officers to protect valuable vulnerable assets with little or no training. Problems soon transpired in areas having highly technical on-site-responsibilities. It soon became clear that the nation could not afford full-time police officers at every critical facility and without special training the only thing the officers were truly providing was a method to evacuate a facility and the timely contacting of response teams such as hazmat personnel. Therefore, every pre-September 11th security procedure must be evaluated. In this evaluation, every security vulnerability and loophole must be addressed through the implementation of advanced state-of-the-art technologies, policies, and procedures.

Courtrooms must be secure because violence diminishes the respect for the institution as a place for peaceful resolution; if not, the chaos of violence may replace the rule of law. During the Judicial Conference of the United States, held on March 11, 1982, the United States Chief Justice and Attorney General emphasized the importance of court security by stating; "If we cannot ensure the safety of all participants in the judicial process, we cannot maintain the integrity of the system, we cannot—in sum—'establish justice,' as mandated in the preamble to the constitution of the United States." Sadly, many courts at all levels of the judicial system have been slow or even reluctant to implement adequate security procedures.

The potential exists for violence to occur in any court system regardless of size or geographical location. Indeed, no court system is immune from security problems or violence. Court personnel and security officers are frequently called upon to "handle" aggressive or physically violent people. When court personnel fail to calm down an agitated person or are forced to engage in "physical management," the risks of injury and liability for the officer, bystanders, and even the aggressor are significantly increased. In light of these facts, courts must move from the traditional reactive security mode to the proactive mode. Indeed, with the increasing incidence of sophisticated and complex security threats, it is imperative that security operations focus their energies on preventing, policing and remedying threats and attacks from both external sources and internal threats. Therefore, many bailiffs, constables, deputy sheriffs, police officers, marshals, and others charged with court security and the transportation of prisoners must reevaluate current methods of safeguarding the judicial process.

Philosophical changes and standards establishing "what" a court should do is a start. However, in the author's experience, the "how to" portion of the security equation is left up to each court. As many bailiffs, constables, deputy sheriffs, police officers, marshals, and other personnel charged with court security duties have found, the "how to" process is very hard to complete without consulting specialized in-depth information.

The purpose of this book is to provide information concerning state-of-theart court security operations and technologies, help determine areas where security improvements ought to be made, and shed some light on upgrading procedures for court security and the transportation of prisoners. The ultimate goal of this book is to clarify the fact that security, in today's world, must be reexamined and revamped to protect human and physical assets. A dynamic and adaptive security system is required to address the evolving nature of security threats. Time is running out!

CONTENTS

| | Page |
|---|------|
| Introduction | vii |
| List of Figures | xix |
| | |
| Chapter 1. PERPETRATORS PLANNING AN ATTACK | |
| Types of Perpetrators | |
| Perpetrators Planning an Attack | |
| Target Selection | 4 |
| Perpetrator Tactics | 6 |
| Subversion and Sabotage | 6 |
| Threats or Hoaxes | 7 |
| Bombs or Explosives | 7 |
| Arson | |
| Assassination | 8 |
| Media Manipulation | 8 |
| Armed Raids | |
| Hostage Taking | |
| Operation Style | |
| The Mechanics of Planning and Developing a Violent Attack | |
| Thwarting the Attack | |
| 8 | |
| Chapter 2: INTEGRATED SECURITY SYSTEMS FOR | |
| JUDICIAL FACILITIES | 12 |
| Introduction to Integrated Security Systems | 12 |
| Security Surveys | |
| Initiating the Security Survey | 20 |
| Presurvey Planning Phase | |
| Conduct of Events | |
| Entrance Briefing | |
| The Site Tour | |
| Presurvey Data | |
| | |

| Performing the Security Survey |
|---|
| Arguments Against Security Initiatives |
| Court Security: A Comprehensive Program |
| Physical Security Philosophy |
| Physical Barriers |
| Protective Lighting |
| Mechanically/Manually Operated Lock Systems |
| Electronically Operated Lock Systems |
| Seals and Seal Presses |
| Security System Technologies |
| Alarms |
| Reaction Systems |
| Popular Courthouse Security Systems |
| Handheld Metal Detectors |
| Metal-Tec 1400 |
| Garrett Enforcer G-2 |
| Walk-Through Metal Detectors |
| Intrusion Detection Systems (IDS)61 |
| Designing an IDS |
| IDS Types |
| IDS Sensors |
| Probability of Detection |
| False Alarms/Nuisance Alarms |
| Vulnerability to Defeat |
| Explosives/Narcotics Detection |
| Intelligent Detection Systems |
| Chemical Trace Detection Systems |
| IDS |
| Ion Track Instruments |
| Concealed Weapon Detection System71 |
| Ventilation Protection |
| Video Surveillance Systems (CCTV)72 |
| CCTV Terminology and Operating Requirements |
| Covert Cameras |
| Dummy Cameras |
| Video Recording Equipment (VCR) |
| Multiplexers |
| Time-Lapse Recorders |
| Event Recorders |

xii

| Digital Recorders | |
|--|-----|
| VCR Tapes and Recording Plans | 80 |
| CCTV Warning Signs | |
| Soliciting Bids for CCTV Systems | 81 |
| Video Intercom Systems | |
| Blast Mitigation | |
| Window Protection | 83 |
| Security Robots | 84 |
| Mobile and Static X-Ray Equipment | |
| Entry Control Philosophy | |
| Entry Control Operations | |
| Duress Alarm Devices | 106 |
| New Courthouse Designs | 107 |
| Security Guards | 109 |
| Police Officers Assigned to the Courthouse | |
| Canine Operations | 114 |
| Prisoner Security within the Court | |
| Property Management System | |
| Escaped Prisoner | 117 |
| Prisoners and Public Areas | 119 |
| Weapons in Court Facilities | |
| Hostage/Barricaded Incidents | |
| Guidelines for Hostages | |
| Ways to Save a Judge | |
| Courtroom Security Inspections | 129 |
| High-Risk Trial Plan | 130 |
| Emergency Medical Plan | |
| Security and Control Manual | 133 |
| Detecting Unusual Behavior | |
| Addressing Cost Issues | |
| Concluding Remarks | 144 |
| | – |
| Chapter 3: EFFECTIVE RESPONSE MECHANICS | |
| Response Planning Purpose | |
| Response Planning | |
| Response Planning Mechanics | |
| OPSEC Techniques | |
| The Response Mission | |
| Response Planning Committee | 150 |
| | |

xiii

xiv

Contents

| Telephone Tip Lines | |
|---|--|
| Anonymous Tips Line Box(es) | |
| | |
| Zero Tolerance Programs | |
| Reward Programs | |
| Courthouse Police Officer Assignment | |
| Background Checks on All Courthouse Personnel | |
| Supporting Policies | |
| Interviews and Interrogations | |
| Defense-in-Depth | |
| Past Security/Safety Surveys | |
| Crisis Procedure Checklists | |
| Lock-Downs | |
| Safe Havens | |
| Evacuation | |
| Emergency Notification List | |
| Incident Command System (ICS) | |
| Aftermath Checklist | |
| Acquiring Response Planning Information | |
| Response Procedure Completion | |
| Characteristics of a Good Plan | |
| Response Plan Exercises | |
| Conducting Drills and Full-Scale Exercises | |
| Concluding Remarks | |
| 0 | |
| Chapter 4: TACTICAL CONSIDERATIONS | |
| Training Issues | |
| Concealed or Disguised Weapons | |
| The Knife Wielder | |
| Concealed Carry Methods | |
| Free Carry | |
| Elastic Medical Aids | |
| Paper Bag Carry | |
| Cardboard Box Carry | |
| Garment Over-the-Hand Carry | |
| Briefcase Carry | |
| Vehicle Methods | |
| Body Cavity Carry | |
| Lanyard Carry | |
| | |
| Belt Buckle Carry | |

xv

| Self-Contained Firearm/Holster Combination Carry | 34 |
|--|-----|
| Hollow Book Carry | 34 |
| Medical Sling Carry | 34 |
| Emergency Operations Center (EOC) Operations | 36 |
| Tactical Containment Operations | 39 |
| Responding to Explosive Devices | 43 |
| Prerequisites for Explosive Device Deployment | 44 |
| Explosive Device Information Sources | 44 |
| Explosive Device Use | 45 |
| Explosive Device Construction | 46 |
| Firebombs | 46 |
| Explosive Device Initiation | 46 |
| Mechanical Triggers | 46 |
| Electrical Triggers | 247 |
| Chemical Triggers | 247 |
| Combination Triggers | 247 |
| Multiple Triggers | 247 |
| Discovering and Reacting to Explosive Devices | 48 |
| Explosive Device Recognition | |
| Searching for Explosive Devices | 50 |
| Searching for Explosive Devices in Vehicles | 54 |
| Bomb Dogs | 55 |
| Reacting to Explosive Devices | 56 |
| Explosive Device Training Courses | 58 |
| Specialty Impact Munitions | |
| Chemical Agent Munitions | |
| Frangible Ammunition | 64 |
| CCI/Speer ZNT | 65 |
| Delta Frangible Ammunition (DFA) | 65 |
| Longbow NTF | 65 |
| Remington | 66 |
| Electronic Immobilization Devices (EIDs) | 66 |
| Hand-Applied Devices | 68 |
| EID Shields | 68 |
| EID Belts | 69 |
| EID Batons | 69 |
| EID Poles | 69 |
| Propelled EID Devices | 270 |
| Remote-Controlled EIDs | |

Contents

| EID Fencing Systems | 272 |
|----------------------------------|-----|
| Vigilance | 276 |
| Conclusion | 277 |
| Appendix | |
| A. Technical Information Sources | 279 |
| B. Security Equipment Resources | 284 |
| Index | 289 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| Figure 1. Schematic of MADAHCOM integrated security system15 |
|--|
| Figure 2. Examples of master site maps |
| Figure 3. Facility evacuation routes |
| Figure 4. Perimeter fence with a vehicle barrier in the background33 |
| Figure 5. Perimeter fence with vehicle barriers in the background34 |
| Figure 6. Example of an installed barrel bolt |
| Figure 7. Example TID's |
| Figure 8. Door contact alarm 1 |
| Figure 9. Door contact alarm 2 |
| Figure 10. Sound/impact alarm |
| Figure 11. Alarm master board |
| Figure 12. Garrett metal detector |
| Figure 13. Metal-Tec 1400 metal detector |
| Figure 14. Control screening metal detector |
| Figure 15. Metorex metal detector |
| Figure 16. Control Screening walk-through metal detector |
| Figure 17. Metorex walk-through metal detector |
| Figure 18. Ion Track detection systems hand-held model |
| Figure 19. Ion Track detection systems control panel |
| Figure 20. Ion Track detection systems walk-through model |
| Figure 21. CCTV dome |
| Figure 22. Cybermotion robot1 |
| Figure 23. Cybermotion robot 2 |
| Figure 24. Vehicle x-rays |
| Figure 25. PerkinElmer vehicle x-ray machine |
| Figure 26. Rapiscan x-ray machine |
| Figure 27. Optiquest x-ray screen |
| Figure 28. ViewSonic X-ray screen |
| Figure 29. Temtec badges 1100 |
| Figure 30. Temtec badges 2101 |
| |

List of Figures

| Figure 31. Advanced vegetation growth obscuring a fence | 175 |
|--|-----|
| Figure 32. Washed-out fence. | |
| Figure 33. Improperly maintained fence gate. | 176 |
| Figure 34. Gravel fence base. | 176 |
| Figure 35. Cement fence base. | 176 |
| Figure 36. A full-scale exercise. | 201 |
| Figure 37. Officers practicing court house violence drills 1 | 211 |
| Figure 38. Officers practicing court house violence drills 2 | 211 |
| Figure 39. Officers practicing court house violence drills 3 | |
| Figure 40. Officers practicing court house violence drills 4 | 212 |
| Figure 41. Officers practicing court house violence drills 5 | |
| Figure 42. Officers practicing court house violence drills 6 | |
| Figure 43. Officers practicing court house violence drills 7 | 214 |
| Figure 44. Disguised knives 1. | |
| Figure 45. Disguised knives 2. | |
| Figure 46. Disguised knives 3. | |
| Figure 47. Hollow containers used to conceal weapons. | |
| Figure 48. Plastic weapons. | |
| Figure 49. Waistband carry. | |
| Figure 50. Elastic-band carry. | |
| Figure 51. Paper-bag carry. | |
| Figure 52. Cardboard box carry | |
| Figure 53. Garment over-the-hand carry | |
| Figure 54. Briefcase carry. | |
| Figure 55. Portfolio carry. | |
| Figure 56. Glovebox carry | |
| Figure 57. Map pocket carry. | |
| Figure 58. Belt buckle carry | |
| Figure 59. Self-contained firearm holster. | |
| Figure 60. Medical sling carry. | |
| Figure 61. Officers beginning an indoor bomb search | 253 |
| Figure 62. Officers training on how to search a vehicle | |
| Figure 63. SIM projectiles 1. | |
| Figure 64. SIM projectiles 2 | |
| Figure 65. SIM projectiles 3. | |
| Figure 66. Examples of OC aerosol products | 264 |
| Figure 67. Nova stun shield. | |
| Figure 68. Nova stun pole. | 271 |
| Figure 69. Taser | 273 |

chapter title

| Figure 70. Gallagher power fence 1 | |
|------------------------------------|---------|
| Figure 71. Gallagher power fence 2 | 275 |
| Figure 72. Gallagher power fence 3 | 275 |

COURT SECURITY

Chapter 1

PERPETRATORS PLANNING AN ATTACK

It has been said that for an individual to effectively counter an enemy, one must know his enemy. This statement certainly rings true in the case of courtroom security personnel charged with protecting the court, citizens, and perpetrators. There are generally three types of perpetrators who plan violent courtroom attacks; they are known as reactive offenders, mission offenders, and thrill-seeking offenders.

Types of Perpetrators

Reactive offenders have a perceived sense of entitlement regarding their rights, privileges, and way of life that does not extend to the victim. This offender may react due to a perceived threat that seemingly threatens the perpetrator's way of life, personal relationships, or privilege. A reactive offender typically focuses on protecting and defending against any perceived threat constituted by the presence of outsiders. This offender may resort to using fear and intimidation to send a message that will repel the outsiders. Victims of this offender often fall into the category of an individual or group who are perceived by the offender as constituting a threat. Acts of violence may occur in the offender's own neighborhood, place of recreation, or court. If the threat is perceived to subside, the reactive behavior may also subside. Reactive offenders generally feel little if any guilt because they perceive that violent behavior is a justifiable response to personal feelings of violation.

Mission offenders are often psychotic, suffer from mental illness that may cause hallucinations, have an impaired ability to reason, and often withdraw from other people. The mission offender's motivation revolves around believing that he or she has been instructed by a higher order (God, the Fuhrer, the Imperial Wizard, etc.) to rid the world of an evil. They may also believe that they must get even for any misfortunes they may have suffered. These offenders may also perceive a conspiracy of some kind being perpetuated by the person or groups targeted for violence. This offender may feel a sense of urgency about their violent mission, believing they must act before it is too late. Victims often fall into the category of an individual or group who are perceived as responsible for the offenders' frustrations. All members of a despised group may be targeted for elimination. Acts of violence are likely to occur wherever the targeted group is likely to be found. Their mission often ends in the offender's suicide.

Thrill-Seeking offenders are often trying to fit into a group. Their motivation revolves around gaining a psychological or social thrill, to be accepted by peers, or to gain bragging rights. Victims may constitute any person or vulnerable group perceived as inferior by the offender. Acts of violence are likely to occur wherever the targeted group is likely to be found. Since attacks are random, it is often difficult to identify the offender. Attacks often involve desecration and vandalism, although they can involve more violent actions. Hatred of the victim is relatively superficial; offenders may be deterred from repeating actions if there is a strong societal response condemning the behavior.

Any of these offenders may become what law enforcement professionals call "Active Shooters." These active shooters often intend to kill as many people as possible and may have no plan or intentions of escape. The active shooter may intend to do as much damage as possible and then commit suicide by his or her own hand. Additionally, the active shooter may confront the police and force action or attempt to escape the crime scene. Finally, active shooters can be expected to be heavily armed with more than one weapon and carry a large amount of ammunition.

Perpetrators Planning an Attack

There may be some readers who believe perpetrators commit acts of violence with little thought or preparation. However, this does not appear to be the case with many of the more violent incidents recently witnessed. Indeed, once a perpetrator has targeted a court for attack, an extensive planning phase may be set into motion. Granted, sophistication of an attack and the planning phase for the attack will vary in detail. However, the mechanics of an attack will likely focus on the following areas to some degree: target selection, tactics, operation style, and the completion of a plan of attack.

Target Selection

Once motivated, the perpetrator will select a target court after answering the following questions. Is the target courthouse critical, accessible, easily restored, vulnerable, and what effect will the attack and subsequent death and destruction have on the local and national population? A target courthouse is deemed critical when destruction or damage will have a significant impact upon unique specialized functions. Of course, the criticality of a target courthouse may change with political, economic, and sociological fluctuations.

A target court is accessible when the perpetrator can easily infiltrate the target site. Perpetrators will often gather detailed intelligence concerning the court's location, physical structure, and efficiency of security measures. A target court is easily restored if restoration efforts are considered efficient and quick or if judicial activities can be easily transferred to other locations. This is important as the offenders may consider the effort not worth the risk. However, if restoration will be expensive, difficult, time consuming, or impractical, the target court may become a favored target for attack.

Courthouse vulnerability focuses on whether the court is open to attack by the means and capabilities available to the offenders. Offender means include explosives or bombs, both conventional and unconventional; arson; assassination; raid-type attacks; hostage taking; sabotage; insider assistance; and mob/gang actions, etc. Offender capabilities include available manpower, logistics, support networks, money, training, and the availability of weapons and explosives. A focal point of offender capability lies in the fact that court attacks always enable streamlined assets, when compared to defending assets (it may only require one offender to successfully attack a courthouse protected by numerous security systems and personnel). Offenders will often compare their means and capabilities to the target court's location, type, security systems, security forces, and available assistance.

Finally, the offender will often consider how the public will react to the attack? Will there be economic, political, psychological, and sociological impact? Can a nationwide court system be affected by these attacks or, at the very least, will only a certain area be affected? For example, many local economies cannot afford heightened security measures or the building of new courthouses. Will citizens fear the prospect of entering the courthouse? Will criminal justice and other professionals be afraid of entering the courthouse? Will the government and judicial systems become repressive in order to protect citizens, and will citizens accept or even demand this type of reaction? Can sociological unrest be developed or exploited?

Naturally, each question does not require an affirmative answer for a perpetrator to target a certain courthouse; however, as favorable factors accumulate, chances are that a court will be chosen for attack. Particularly desirable targets are high profile in nature, for example, large courthouses, courthouses located in or around large cities, and courthouses representing a popular landmark for a community.