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FOREWORD

Helen B. Landgarten, DAT, MFT, ATR, HLM

Maxine Borowsky Junge’s curiosity about art and issues related to it, led her to explore numerous thought-provoking subjects. The amalgamation of new material and previously published works make this book impressive.

The author establishes ART as a basic prism. Its reflective facets encompass: “Psychology of Art,” “Creativity,” “Social Action,” “The Profession of Art Therapy,” and “Clinical Applications of Art Therapy.”

Many chapters are written from a feminist point of view. Junge’s interest in female artists and art therapists is revealed time and again. Factual contents are flavored with her own opinions.

The author’s willingness to expose herself through personal material is a bold step in art therapy literature. In the past, art therapists tended to record their clinical work and sometimes included how they were affected by their clients and the outcome of cases. This was an appropriate model for education. Nevertheless, there is room in art therapy and mental health for a more personal type of writing. It helps to provide a place for empathy and the validation of feelings for students and colleagues.

As I read this book I found myself having an inner dialogue with Junge. At times I was in agreement; at other times I argued with some of her statements. This type of involvement with an author’s thinking process is a major asset for readers. It encourages silent readers to become engaged. The value of this book is the author’s extraordinary talent to make us think!

Art therapists, artists, mental health practitioners and the general public will find Mourning, Memory and Life Itself, Essays by an Art Therapist, fascinating and a worthwhile book to read.

Helen B. Landgarten is Professor Emerita, Loyola Marymount University and formerly Senior Staff Member, Thalians Community Mental Health Center, Cedars Sinai Hospital. She is the author of many art therapy books.
INTRODUCTION

The room where I write is up seven stairs in my house on Whidbey Island north of Seattle. It is the only second story room in my house and feels a bit like a tree house. Sitting at my computer and looking out the windows, I see very little built architecture, but there is a stand of evergreen trees taller than the house, and these autumn days I see trees with leaves turning orange and yellow. This morning on my way out through the courtyard to my red Subaru to take my Golden Retriever Moka to the dog park, I noticed that the deep maroon leaves of the flowering plum are starting to fall and cover the ground. In a few months the tree will be winter bare, brown-black branches instead of the plump round of leaves I can see from my window now. When it rains as it is today, I hear soft and hard fingertips of sound pounding and playing on my roof and through the windows I can see sheets of rain coming down.

Inside, my work room is cozy. Occasionally, my beloved dog climbs the stairs to sleep near me curled on the oriental rug while I work. She is here now. There is the blue Victorian love seat with a Georgia O’Keeffe pillow, in black and white, I bought in a museum shop in Milwaukee and another throw pillow, black with strong colorful abstract patterning of flowers and leaves, given me by an art therapist friend whom I treasure. There are overflowing bookshelves and my mother’s teak desk, with the desktop barely seen through the mass of papers (I have found that putting away something where I can’t see it, means it no longer exists for me and therefore, doesn’t get attended to—so I leave stuff out and the stack grows ever higher). On the wall in front of me, is a quilt made by my students at Loyola Marymount University and presented to me at my retirement. Above the blue couch is a John Marin-like watercolor of New York City by my father and a story framed by my daughter from an old Life magazine about “Pride of the Marines” a movie written by my Dad. Folk art, a long-lasting love affair of mine, stands on ledges of my writing room—some figures—acrobats, largely—are from Cirque de Soleil, and there are figures from a crocheted nativity scene, including donkey, lambs and Baby Jesus in a crocheted manger made
by an elderly woman on Whidbey Island. I see photographs of my son Ben
on the wall, one on a bluff in Ireland and one of him sitting in a replica of
the Oval Office on the set of “The West Wing” which he visited when my
daughter was writing for the series. There is a framed poster from a musical
“Galileo” written by my daughter Alexa when she was in her early 20s and
a bulletin board with drawings and sayings: “I’ll be a post-feminist in post-
patriarchy,” “To create one’s own world in any of the arts takes courage,”
(Georgia O’Keeffe), “The strongest drive is not love or hate. It is one person’s
need to modify, revise, alter, change, rewrite, amend, chop to pieces anoth-
er’s copy,” and “Life is not a journey to the grave with the intention of arriv-
ing with a pretty and well-preserved body, but rather to skid in broadside,
thoughly used up, totally worn out and loudly proclaiming, WOW! What
a ride!” I have no memory of who said this, or who sent it to me, but think
it might have been my mentor for my doctoral work, who died recently.

My filing system is evidenced by the stacks of papers and books strewn
about the floor of my workroom. From my constant and comfortable seat at
the computer, I can see books about Arbus, O’Keeffe, Kahlo, Henry Darger,
a pile of books of essays including those by Kinsolver, Rodriquez and Page
Smith, with Arnheim’s New Essays on the Psychology of Art on top. Gaston
Bachelard’s Poetics of Space and Joyce Cary’s The Horse’s Mouth are on the
couch. With the familiar pleasures and safety of family and friends around
me, I have written this book.

Many of the essays here were originally published in art therapy journals.
I consider them old friends of many years returning for a family reunion.
Their sometimes scattered and often inconspicuous appearances, “briefly
flowering and recycled tomorrow,” in Barbara Kingsolver’s words, did not
cause me to love them any less and their return has been cause for a tender
celebration. Since their first debuts, all have been substantially altered for
this book and brought up to date. Reading them in their original forms, I was
often saddened to discover that 30 years after a first publication, times have
not changed as much as I could wish. There are six new essays for this col-
lection. Writing these has enabled me to think about ideas that have been
assaulting my brain and consciousness—some for a long time. I have repro-
duced patient artwork.

A few years back, a student of mine said that she thought I was opinion-
ated. I said that at my “advanced” age, surely she didn’t expect me not to
have opinions. She didn’t mean her comment as a complement. What she
meant was if I held strong opinions, she feared I might be judgmental of her
if she differed. I DO hold strong opinions, but I would like to believe I frame
them as hypotheses and that I am open enough to change my mind. I love
to discuss disparate ideas with people I respect as thinkers and often find a
wealth of wisdom that might bump up enough against my own to change my
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opinions. I discovered long ago that most psychotherapy theories and ideas, persistent though they may be, are anecdotal at best and cannot be “proved” to work. This is one reason the nature/nurture pendulum of etiology and child development has swung to the nature end, with most serious mental health disorders now being defined as “diseases” amenable to medication. It is one reason why these days the field and managed care are commonly afflicted with behaviorism, cognitivism and their offspring. I support attempts to improve psychotherapy and counseling and to make them more accountable, but this switch to nature is presumably based on our increasing knowledge of brain chemistry. Although I believe in historical progress, I was married to a brain researcher who was possibly more of a skeptic even than I am, and he taught me to watch for the huge changes in brain research which are not necessarily improvements, and that what we think we know in that area changes about every ten years. So I will reserve judgment.

It isn’t hard to understand that the complexities of the human condition do not make any of this easy. I have spoken out to the art therapy profession to gather it’s “self esteem” together, to ask it to define itself instead of following and attempting to adapt to the trends, and they are trends, in mental health—not “truth,” (e.g., I was trained before there was a Diagnostic and Statistical Manual, and consider it mostly a political document, sometimes useful, but certainly not to be employed, as many therapists today do, as information given by God (or Godly psychiatrists) and not to be ignored or used in concert with therapeutic experience and common sense).

Many writers salute the libraries and librarians that first provided a home for them and their imagination. Like them, I remember the pleasure when I was an adolescent and bored to tears in school, of picking books off the library shelf to take home and read, to return them and choose more. I didn’t follow any list of great books, nor gather anyone’s opinion of what to read. I simply read what interested me. I would read the first few pages and if they held me, I took the book home. I remember the tenth grade English teacher, Mrs. Bruce who told us we were going to read Arrowsmith. The only reason she gave us was that she liked it. I loved it! And it set me off to reading everything Sinclair Lewis ever wrote and all the biographies of him I could find.

From my library treasures, I got a broad if eccentric and eclectic education and have been a reader all my life. Over my years as a mental health practitioner and as a teacher, I have read much of the psychology and psychotherapy literature which I have found generally wanting. I believe that art, music, theater and literature tell us more about human life and how it is lived and should be lived.

Since I discovered him in adolescence and found someone who believed what I did, I have always been interested in John Dewey’s innovation of pro-
gressive education, learning from experience. I have discovered that I learn that way myself. While I have had a few great teachers whom I revere, mostly, with their tender guidance, I have taught myself. I call myself “a skeptic who will try anything” and that is primarily true. The various ideas and theories I hold are framed as hypotheses to be tested in the real world with real human beings, and perhaps altered or changed entirely. Nevertheless, I have been in the psychotherapy and art therapy fields for almost 40 years now and there are certain assumptions that have been tested and seem to work so far and which provide the often tacit bedrock on which my work exists and expands—some might call them “biases.” These assumptions will be found in my essays, but I want to frankly acknowledge them here for the reader:

• My major theory is systems. It seems to me a shame that after all these years and with the global network increasing in complexity and influence and national boundaries becoming more permeable, most art therapy and psychotherapy training programs in the United States are still individually conceived and taught. Individualistic America in which a John Wayne type conquers the western territory by molding it to his will is still a prevailing paradigm in most psychotherapy and art therapy thought and practice. Once integrally trained in individual ideas, it is very tough for a therapist to change directions to become a systems thinker, though not impossible. It is one of the main reasons that mental health is all too often about social control.

I believe:

• In the unconscious. I have never seen it and do not know exactly how it works, but I believe it exists and must be considered in any concept of change, large or small. Not too many years ago, I was around a number of bright people attempting to be change agents in their businesses and organizations. That the organization probably had an “unconscious” seemed obvious to me, but was a new idea to many of these people.

I believe that:

• A person’s history is important and is played out in their adult life. I think that the first five years of life are extraordinarily important and that a human being develops and grows through a lifetime. Although I certainly wouldn’t call myself any kind of Freudian, I am primarily a psychodynamicist. I believe Freud’s ideas still prevail in American culture for better or worse: Every time we hear a parent asking a young child “why did you do that?” we are hearing the cause and effect ideas of Freud.
I believe:

• *The relationship* is the essential element of change.

I believe:

• *We cannot predict, nor control the future, nor even much the present.* This means to me that while a person’s history may have been horribly damaging, there are too many mysteries about human life and culture to predict outcome with any certainty.

I was born in Los Angeles and lived there most of my life—long enough to see it covered over with buildings and cement. My early years were influenced by The Great Depression’s web on my parents and World War II. I have lived through many things: the Civil Rights Movement, The Women’s Movement, Lyndon Johnson’s War on Poverty, the beginnings of the Peace Corps, Vista, Head Start, Legal Services for the Poor, the massacre at Kent State and the Vietnam War. It was a time of rioting in ghettos and barrios in over 100 United States cities (some call the riots “revolutions”). Stonewall and AIDS are of my time and I watched on TV as an astronaut landed on the moon; I witnessed *Roe v. Wade*, the turbulent revolution of the ’60s, the resignation of a president and the impeachment of another. It was the time of the assassinations of John and Bobby Kennedy and Martin Luther King within a few years of each other. I have been around to see 9/11 and its ramifications. All have influenced and formed me and I have noticed that life keeps bobbing up and getting in the way of plans. I consider the term “planned change” an oxymoron. There are always surprises.

I believe:

• *Education can be transforming of individuals and cultures,* but usually isn’t.

I believe:

• *Humor* is essential—in life, teaching and in therapy. Almost anything can be said, if it is done with humor. And it helps if the person feels understood. Humor is a virtually unstudied but tremendously important asset of human life.

• I am passionate about *art therapy.* Because it is innovative, magical, mysterious and because the created image is always surprising and sometimes life changing. Art and the creative process are as important to life as breathing.

And I believe:

• *Hope* is the cauldron in which most change occurs.
In many ways, this book of essays *Mourning, Memory and Life Itself*, includes my life’s work. While it may not contain all, it certainly represents ideas that have been most fascinating and important to me and reflects the variety of interests that have startled and provoked me over time. When I look at the essays as a whole, that certain themes unaccountably persist over my almost 40 years as an art therapist surprised me. Many go way back and are the very same themes that intrigued me as a child and as an adolescent. Although sometimes disguised or couched in different terms, they are the very same themes that plague and interest me now. Themes here appear as topic headings for the essays. They are: Psychology of Art, Creativity, Social Action, Clinical Applications of Art Therapy, and Art Therapy as a Profession.

As I wrote this book of essays, I hoped I would be able to discover something different than that written by the sometimes wonderful multidisciplinary writers and theoreticians about art and creativity, art historians, educators, mental health practitioners or even philosophers. I am satisfied and pleased that this book is clearly the work of an art psychotherapist who while including ideas from disparate sources, hopes to conceptualize and “see” within her own idiosyncratic focus. *Mourning, Memory and Life Itself* is first for my art therapy colleagues and students. In addition, it is for other mental health professionals who I hope will find some of their questions echoed here. It is also a book for people interested in the psychology of art and for those who love wondering about the combination of art and people, as I always have and as I do now.

Now it is almost spring on Whidbey Island. The winter tree’s bare branches are covered with buds which will be bursting into fabulous pink in another week or so. The leaves from bulbs in my garden—tulips and daffodils—have come up, fanned by the little sun we have had, with their abundant flowers not far behind. This morning it rained, but in the afternoon sun appeared for awhile.

Maxine Borowsky Junge
Whidbey Island, Washington
April 2008
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MOURNING, MEMORY AND LIFE ITSELF
Art is personal; it makes people think about their secret lives.
—Joan Acocella

The reward of writing is learning.
—Fritz Stern
Part I

PSYCHOLOGY OF ART
Chapter 1

MOURNING, MEMORY AND LIFE ITSELF: THE AIDS QUILT AND THE VIETNAM VETERANS MEMORIAL

The Vietnam Veterans Memorial and the AIDS Quilt represented our most profound cultural yearnings of memory and forgetting at the end of the twentieth century. In this essay, I attempt to make connections between these memorials’ artistic meaning-making at the individual level as it springs from and expands into remarkable and powerful societal concerns about healing. Along with the Women’s Movement, the Vietnam War and the AIDS epidemic are the distinguishing events of the latter half of the twentieth century. They represent two markers of what America meant at this unique historical moment.

Artwork and other articles of remembrance have been left by visitors to the Wall since its inauguration. These “offerings” are collected by the National Park Service, taken to a secret warehouse, catalogued and stored. Some years ago, a student of mine as her thesis project, studied the artifacts left at the Wall. As I accompanied my student through her thesis exploration, I grew fascinated that people at the Wall created and brought their own offerings to the dead. (We have observed this phenomenon again with the spontaneous offerings left after Princess Diana’s death and, increasingly, at the sites of accidents and deaths across the United States.) The AIDS Quilt is another

2. “Secret” because the was is still controversial.
important memorial first created in the United States during the last decades of the twentieth century as a response to the pandemic of AIDS.

As I thought and read about these phenomena, I came to believe that the mourning process as it is expressed and enacted, and the mourning objects of individual and collective memory, can be thought of as metaphors for art therapy processes because, as with art therapy, these memorials embody our deepest sufferings juxtaposed with an intrinsic impulse toward creativity, existing paradoxically and simultaneously within the bounded container of the therapeutic relationship.

The art therapist provides art materials, a listening heart and mind—and a surround in which suffering exists but simultaneously can be contained. Created artwork, its particular intent or direction notwithstanding, represents the dark and the light, the known and the unknown, consciousness and unconsciousness. And it represents the creator’s reinterpretation of memory. Within the boundaries of art materials, the concrete and imagined art product expresses safety and continuity. It represents and stands for a life lived and one still living. It echoes a voice of continuity in the face of loss and death.

**ART THERAPY LITERATURE**

Much art therapy literature has dealt with loss, grief and trauma, but these studies seem to fall into two major categories: First, are the individual or group case histories of clients undergoing grief from loss, trauma or post-traumatic stress syndrome who through their involvement in art therapy with a sensitive art therapist (often in a workshop setting) are able to alleviate suffering and lift depression (e.g., Case, 1987; Kornreich, 1993; McIntyre, 1990; Speert, 1992; Stronach-Buschel, 1990; Zambelli, Clark & Heegaard, 1989).

The second category is art therapy literature on trauma at the societal level (e.g., Berkowitz, 1990; Felber, 1993; Golub, 1985; Jones, 1997; McDougall, 1992; Roje, 1994). In her paper, Berkowitz (1990) wrote about art therapy treatment with returning veterans of the Vietnam War. Golub (1985) also working with this population, stated that art therapy was a natural form of expression for “the devastating anguish surrounding visual memories . . . because there are no works
to describe such extreme situations.” In 1991 in “Art Therapy in a War Zone,” Sherebrin described her work with Israeli children whose homes were destroyed in SCUD missile attacks during the Gulf War. Felber (1993) wrote about art therapy as a way to ease psychological trauma in Tijuana, Mexico after giant floods. Her study concerned an art therapy group with mental health workers on the front lines after the tragedy. McDougall (1992) worked with children who survived the Andover, Kansas tornado, and Roje (1994) described art therapy with children after the 1994 Los Angeles earthquake. Jones (1997) discussed art therapy with Oklahoma City bombing survivors; Franklin (1993) described the AIDS crisis and its art imagery and persuasively argued the connection between AIDS art and its social context. For an interesting story of the making of a Quilt panel within the context of art therapy, see Kerewsky’s (1997) “The AIDS Memorial Quilt: Personal and Therapeutic Uses.”

A third category of literature is the art therapy response to urban violence. A precursor of this ilk was published by Landgarten, Tasem, Junge and Watson (1978) in “Art Therapy as a Modality for Crisis Intervention” in which a team from a community mental health clinic conducted art therapy sessions in a public school after the Symbionese Liberation Army’s kidnapping of Patti Hearst and the burning of people and houses in the student’s neighborhood in Los Angeles. I was a member of this team.

My own writing in these areas has taken me from clinical work into the social arena. In 1985, I published “The Book About Daddy Dying: A Preventive Art Therapy Technique to Help a Family Deal with the Death of a Family Member” (Junge, 1985, Chapter 13 in this book). I described the making of a book in which all the family participates and which gives expression and concreteness to the dead person as still, part of the family. I spoke of the book as a ritual memory object. In 1989, in “Social Applications of the Arts” (Ault, Barlow, Junge & Moon), I wrote of the “sweeping power of the arts for change.” “The Art Therapist as Social Activist” (Junge, Alvarez, Kellogg & Volker, 1993, Chapter 7 in this book) describes a clinical project in which art therapists worked with Nicaraguan refugees and one art therapist’s experience of being a union organizer and striking against her clinic management.

Relevant to my essay here is Kaufman’s 1996 paper “Art in Boxes: An Exploration of Meanings.” Through artmaking, Kaufman, a tal-
ent art therapist, explores the relationship of art and suffering through research based on the loss of her child to AIDS. By making a sculpture the author confronts her own sense of loss and separateness and transforms it through art and meaning-making. Kaufman’s work is very close to the exploration of meaning in this paper of the Vietnam Veterans Memorial and the AIDS Quilt: She sees art as a response to suffering and a container for feelings. She establishes art as having the potential both to memorialize and to transform.

HISTORY AND ORIGIN OF THE VIETNAM VETERANS MEMORIAL AND THE AIDS QUILT

After World War I, allied forces determined that every fallen soldier should be commemorated individually. Major architects of the time put names on gravestones, or incised them on a monument. In 1924, Sir Edward Luyten constructed arches at Thiepval in France containing 73,367 names to memorialize those who had died at the battle of the Somme. On the Menen gate which leads out of Ypres in northwest Belgium, there are 54,896 names.

A Vietnam veteran, Jan Scruggs, initiated the Vietnam design competition through grassroots efforts to build a memorial to honor and remember those who served in that conflictual war. Criteria for designs were that the future monument be nonpolitical and that it include all who were killed or missing in action from 1959 to 1975. An eminent jury of eight art experts judged the submissions.

Maya Lin, a Chinese-American, who grew up in Athens, Ohio was an undergraduate architecture student at Yale University at the time. She became fascinated with monuments commemorating the ordinary lives of fallen soldiers. As a senior design student, together with each member of her design seminar, in 1980 she submitted a design to the Vietnam Veterans competition—a design shaped by her study of World War I cemeteries. Lin designed a simple V-shaped panel with roughly 58,000 names inscribed in 140 panels. The names, themselves, she decided, would be the memorial.

Lin’s design contrasted with and functions in opposition to the established methods of remembrance on the Mall in Washington, D.C.

3. This history of memorials has been culled from a variety of sources including Hawkins, (1993), Ruskin, Heron & Zemke, (1988), Sturken, (1997), and The NAMES Project, (1996).
where the Vietnam memorial would be placed. Previous memorials were of white marble, often contained realistic figures and included towering shapes, such as the neighboring Lincoln Memorial and Washington Monument. Lin’s wall of names was a minimalist sculpture, an earthwork cut into the sloping earth. Made of highly polished black granite, the Wall in effect acts as a mirror: Here the living see themselves superimposed upon the names of the dead. The names on the Wall are represented chronologically instead of alphabetically as was typical; they are like a Greek epic, representing the continuing chronicle of the war.

Although the jury was unanimous in its selection of Lin’s design, a firestorm of controversy was ignited. At first, the focus of the controversy was on the modernist character of the monument itself. But when Lin’s identity became known—she was not only young (21 years old) and uncredentialed, she was Chinese-American and female—she was defined not as American, but as “other.” The jury’s selection of someone with “marginal” cultural status as the primary interpreter of a controversial war inevitably complicated matters. Lin’s design was characterized as passive and female. The aesthetic was seen as Asian and therefore not appropriate for an American memorial. In addition, Lin’s refusal to glorify war led her to an aesthetic statement of pacifism, not a very popular stance then or now in America.

Maya Lin’s design reflects war’s violence as the Wall cuts into the earth. Despite its initial deep flaying for the construction of the Wall, the land itself remains constant and endures. Although the still-visible cut is part of Lin’s design, grass has grown back around it. Later, a more traditional monument depicting soldiers was added to the Mall area and recently a monument to women of the Vietnam War has been established. Both face the Wall.

What was unexpected was the stream of reverent visitors filing past the black granite wall, often in tears. Soon after the inauguration of the Memorial, the controversy fell away attesting to the Wall’s aesthetic power. Since its dedication, people have been unable to keep from touching it. They look for names familiar to them, and touch them as if tenderly caressing skin. Often they leave behind teddy bears, flowers, model cars, letters, photographs, and dog tags. Even women’s underpants and a Harley Davidson motorcycle have been left at the Wall or pushed into a seam near a name.

Lin believed that the names themselves would be the memorial.
What she did not foresee was that the simplicity and power of the Wall was so strong that an interactive process would often play out in which mourners would leave intimate identity keepsakes as if to restore to the dead the worlds they had lost. In so doing, mourners could express grief, their connection to the lost, and their refusal to forget. In this way, they were potentially able to move on—walking slowly down the wall itself connecting with other names, sometimes backing away from contact. The silence at the Wall is indicative of the presence and deeply-felt experience connecting the living and the dead.

Cleve Jones’ motivation to name names, in his innovation of the AIDS Quilt was the threat to oblivion of another lost generation, like the Vietnam veterans returning to an America intent on forgetting them. In November 1985, it was announced that the AIDS death toll in San Francisco was 1,000. But that tragic loss of life had been largely unnoticed nationally except among gays. It occurred to Jones that if that many corpses were laid out in a field, people might then notice the loss. In the widespread denial about the disease, he worried about the massive numbers of largely hidden dying and the lack of acknowledgement of those who had died. Most people then who died of AIDS-related causes did not have funerals and their remains were typically refused by funeral homes and cemeteries.

At the annual candlelight march in San Francisco, held in honor of the slain gay politician Harvey Milk, Jones asked participants to make signs with the names of someone they knew who had died of AIDS. The signs were hung on the façade of the federal building where they provided a stunning “wall of memory that, simply by naming names, exposed both private loss and public indifference” (Hawkins, 1993.) The wall of names looked like a patchwork quilt.

Jones recalled a patchwork quilt handed down in his family. As American folk art, quilts may represent not only family but America itself. In our national consciousness, they are connected to nineteenth century sewing bees and a longing for past community symbolizing collective, national unity. The family quilt implies warmth and comfort. Above all it implies continuity with the past and the future. It is linked to nostalgia for a feeling of community which may never have really existed—certainly not for gays. In the nineteenth century, when women had little public voice and could not vote, quilting bees were community meetings. Susan B. Anthony made her first speech on women’s suffrage at such a gathering. Communal family quilting promises a future in which the quilt and all that it means can be handed
down the generations.

In 1987, Cleve Jones made the first panel for what was to be called the NAMES Project Quilt. Using a sheet the size of a grave, $3' \times 6'$, he spray-painted the name of his friend Marvin Feldman as a memorial. Since then, like a solemn and hilarious quilting bee, families and friends of those who have died and sometimes the dying person meet to create a panel to contribute to the Quilt. Since 1987 40,000 $3' \times 6'$ panels bearing 70,000 names have been sewn into the Quilt and the AIDS Quilt has become the largest ongoing community arts project in America. In October of 1996, the Quilt, equal in size to 54 football fields was shown in its entirety on the National Mall in Washington. Among the visitors were President and Ms Clinton and Vice President and Ms Gore. This marked the first time in 11 years and the Quilt’s display in Washington five times that a United States President or Vice President had visited a Quilt display. Previous Presidents had turned away, even left town, as they refused to acknowledge the national tragedy represented by the Quilt. The Quilt was nominated for a Nobel Peace Prize in 1989 and as it lies on the Washington Mall has been called a national cemetery for those who have died of AIDS.
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Figure 1-1. *The AIDS Quilt on the Mall in Washington, D.C. and the Washington Monument. The AIDS Memorial Quilt, © 2008 The NAMES Project Foundation.*