DRUGS AND POLICING

## ABOUT THE AUTHORS

**Brian K. Payne** received his Ph.D. in Criminology from Indiana University of Pennsylvania in 1993. He is professor and chair of the Department of Sociology and Criminal Justice at Old Dominion University. He has published over fifty articles in scholarly journals on topics such as elder abuse, white-collar crime, and methods of social control. He is the author of four previous books including *Crime and Elder Abuse: An Integrated Perspective; Incarcerating White-Collar Offenders; Crime in the Home Health Care Field;* and *Family Violence and Criminal Justice: A Life Course Approach.* 

**Randy R. Gainey** received his Ph.D. in 1995 in Sociology from the University of Washington. He is an associate professor in the Department of Sociology and Criminal Justice at Old Dominion University where he serves as the Graduate Program Director for the Graduate Program in Applied Sociology. His research interests include the relationship between drugs and crime at the neighborhood level, strategies of social control, drug treatment, and sentencing issues. His most recent articles appear in *Criminology, Justice Quarterly, Journal of Criminal Justice*, and *American Journal of Criminal Justice*. He has also written one book with Brian Payne, Family Violence and Criminal Justice: A Life Course Approach.

# DRUGS AND POLICING

# **A Scientific Perspective**

By

# BRIAN K. PAYNE, Ph.D.

Department of Sociology and Criminal Justice Old Dominion University Norfolk, Virginia

and

# RANDY R. GAINEY, Ph.D.

Department of Sociology and Criminal Justice Old Dominion University Norfolk, Virginia



CHARLES C THOMAS • PUBLISHER, LTD. Springfield • Illinois • U.S.A.

#### Published and Distributed Throughout the World by

#### CHARLES C THO MAS • PUBLISHER, LTD. 2600 South First Street Springfield, Illinois 62704

This book is protected by copyright. No part of it may be reproduced in any manner without written permission from the publisher.

#### © 2005 by CHARLES C THO MAS • PUBLISHER, LTD.

#### ISBN 0-398-07546-8(hard) ISBN 0-398-07547-6 (paper)

#### Library of Congress Catalog Card Number: 2004055338

With THOMAS BOOKS careful attention is given to all details of manufacturing and design. It is the Publisher's desire to present books that are satisfactory as to their physical qualities and artistic possibilities and appropriate for their particular use. THOMAS BOOKS will be true to those laws of quality that assure a good name and good will.

#### Printed in the United States of America [W-R-3

#### Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Payne, Brian K.

Drugs and policing : a scientific perspective / by Brian K. Payne and Randy R. Gainey.

p. cm.

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 0-398-07546-8 — ISBN 0-398-07547-6 (pbk.)

1. Narcotics, Control of—United States. 2. Drug traffic—Investigation— United States. 3. Law enforcement—United States. 4. Police—United States. 5. Drug abuse and crime—United States. 6. Drug legalization— United States. I. Gainey, Randy R. II. Title.

HV5825 P333 2004 363 45 0973—dc22

2004055338

This book is dedicated to our families—Brian's wife and best friend Kathleen, daughter Chloe, and son Charles; and the women in Randy's family, his partner in crime Edie and sisters Robin, Nicole and D.D. Without these wonderful people in our lives this project would not be possible.

## PREFACE

E fforts to control drugs are not a modern phenomenon. What is modern, however, is the structured, dedicated effort to develop an aggressive police response to control drug use and abuse. In the past several decades, several books have been written about drugs and how society perceives and responds to them. Missing from many of these works, however, is a great deal of attention focused on the law enforcement response to drug use, abuse, and dealing. This is quite interesting given that the criminalization of drugs is such a heated and debated topic as is the enforcement of the laws. Because drugs are criminalized, the law enforcement subsystem is given the task of enforcing drug laws. Yet, little is available in drug textbooks addressing drug law enforcement from a scientific perspective.

This book fills that void by examining the official police response to drugs from a scientific perspective. Objectively addressing why people use drugs, how police strategies relate to those explanations, and different issues that arise in narcotics enforcement provides a foundation that will help students, law enforcement professionals, and policy makers better understand and appreciate the role of the police in the official response to drug use and abuse.

To assist the reader in applying the scientific principle to topics addressed, a number of separate features are included in each chapter. First, boxed inserts, called "In the Streets," are included to describe how various professionals are involved in the response to drugs. Second, boxed inserts, called "Drugs and Research," are included to a highlight a particular study or studies that illustrate a relevant topic in the chapter. Third, boxed inserts, called "Tabloid Justice," are included to describe how celebrities may have encountered the drug world. Included with the boxed inserts, tables, and charts are questions, titled "You be the Judge," asking readers to crit-

## Drugs and Policing

ically analyze the information included in the inset. Fourth, current tables and charts describing different trends are included to provide readers with information needed to decide what they think about different issues related to the police response to drugs. Finally, at the beginning and end of each chapter, a number of questions are asked, encouraging readers to think critically about the topics discussed.

viii

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Te are indebted to many persons who encouraged and supported us in writing this book. Kathleen Payne, Edie Simpson, and Charles Gray read various parts of the manuscript and challenged us to maintain objectivity throughout the work. We are also grateful to our former chairperson, Elizabeth Monk-Turner, Department of Sociology and Criminal Justice, and Chandra DeSilva, Dean of the College of Arts and Letters at Old Dominion University, for various forms of assistance that helped make this book possible. We are also indebted to our students, undergraduate and graduate alike, who have taught us a thing or two about drugs. We also would like to thank Stuart Frazer, the Interlibrary Loan Librarian and social science expert for Old Dominion University Library, for his assistance in locating and retrieving sources. The many fine scholars whose works are cited throughout this book also deserve recognition. We thank them for the opportunity to read and share their ideas. Finally, we would like to thank Michael Thomas and his staff at Charles C Thomas for their faith in our work and their willingness to work with us.

# CONTENTS

	Page
Preface	vii
Thapter	
1. DRUGS AND LAW ENFORCEMENT:	
A SCIENTIFIC PERSPECTIVE	3
Introduction	3
Principles of Science	7
Determinism	7
Parsimony	10
Ethical Neutrality	
Objectivity	
Relativism	16
Skepticism	
Empiricism	19
Concluding Remarks	19
2. TYPES OF DRUGS: HISTORY, EFFECTS,	
AND RECENT TRENDS	
Introduction	21
Classifying Drugs: Uppers, Downers, and All-Arounders	
Classifications Based on the Effects of Different Drugs .	24
Classifying Drugs Based on Official	
Scheduling Mechanisms	
Classifying Drugs Based on Reasons for Use	
History, Effects, and Recent Trends	29
Opiates and Opiate-Like Substances	
Recent Trends in Heroin Use	
Stimulants: Cocaine, Crack, and Methamphetamine	37

	Cocaine	38
	Crack-Cocaine	39
	Methamphetamine	40
	Recent Trends in Cocaine Use	
	Sedative-Hypnotics: Booze, Benzos, and Barbs	43
	Recent Trends in Sedative-Hypnotics	
	Psychedelics or Hallucinogens:	
	The LSD Experience and Beyond	46
	Recent Trends in the Use of Psychedelics	
	Marijuana and Hashish	
	Recent Trends in Marijuana Use	
	Concluding Remarks	
3.	POLICING DRUG CRIMES	59
	Introduction	
	Trends in Drug Policing	63
	Decentralized Policing and Drug Enforcement	64
	Local Policing and Drug Enforcement	65
	State Police and Drug Enforcement	68
	Federal Law Enforcement and Drug Control	
	Police Strategies in War on Drugs	73
	Directed Patrol	74
	Execution of Arrest Warrants and Arrests	
	for other Offenses	75
	Traffic Enforcement and Roadblocks	76
	Simple Surveillance and Arrests	82
	Informant Buys	
	Undercover Strategies	84
	Raids	
	Police as Educators in Drug War	
	Concluding Remarks	
4.	ISSUES IN DRUG POLICING	07
4.		
	Introductiuon	
	Asset Forfeiture	
	Asset Forfeiture as Revenue	
	Changing Priorities in the Drug War	
	Goal Displacement	
	Systemic Failure	.101

Co	n	te	n	ts
00	101	νυ.	10	$v_{\mathcal{O}}$

	The Dangers Confronted by Narcotics Officers	101
	Police Corruption in the Response to Drugs	105
	Abuse of Constitutional Rights	
	Drug Use by Police Officers	
	Explaining and Preventing Drug-Related	
	Police Corruption	110
	The Use of Police Drug Dogs	
	The Unintended Consequences of Drug Crackdowns	
	Displacement	
	Exacerbating the Drug Problem	117
	Harm for Users	
	Systemic Influences	119
	Harm to Police/Community Relations	119
	Racism and Drug Policing	
	Policing Prescription Fraud	
	Drug Policing from a Systems Perspective	
	Lack of Cooperation in Drug Cases	
	Philosophical Barriers in Drug Cases	
	Concluding Remarks	
E	EVELAINING DELICIUSE AND SOCIETAL	
5.	EXPLAINING DRUG USE AND SOCIETAL	191
5.	RESPONSE TO DRUGS	
5.	RESPONSE TO DRUGS	
5.	RESPONSE TO DRUGS	132
5.	RESPONSE TO DRUGS  Introduction    Introduction  Introduction    Two Very General Approaches to Thinking About  Drugs in Society: Positivism and Constructionism	132
5.	RESPONSE TO DRUGS  Introduction    Introduction  Two Very General Approaches to Thinking About    Drugs in Society: Positivism and Constructionism  Three General "Theories" of Crime and Society	132 136
5.	RESPONSE TO DRUGS  Introduction    Introduction  Two Very General Approaches to Thinking About    Drugs in Society: Positivism and Constructionism  Three General "Theories" of Crime and Society    Relevant to Drug Use  Theories of Crime and Society	132 136 140
5.	RESPONSE TO DRUGS  Introduction    Introduction  Two Very General Approaches to Thinking About    Drugs in Society: Positivism and Constructionism  Three General "Theories" of Crime and Society    Relevant to Drug Use  Cultural Theories	132 136 140 140
5.	RESPONSE TO DRUGS  Introduction    Introduction  Two Very General Approaches to Thinking About    Drugs in Society: Positivism and Constructionism  Theories    Three General "Theories" of Crime and Society    Relevant to Drug Use  Cultural Theories    Conflict Theories  Theories	132 136 140 140 143
5.	RESPONSE TO DRUGS  Introduction    Introduction  Two Very General Approaches to Thinking About    Drugs in Society: Positivism and Constructionism  Three General "Theories" of Crime and Society    Relevant to Drug Use  Cultural Theories    Conflict Theories  Feminist Theory	132 136 140 140 143
5.	RESPONSE TO DRUGS  Introduction    Introduction  Two Very General Approaches to Thinking About    Drugs in Society: Positivism and Constructionism  Three General "Theories" of Crime and Society    Relevant to Drug Use  Cultural Theories    Conflict Theories  Feminist Theory    Sociological and Criminological Theories	132 136 140 140 143 145
5.	RESPONSE TO DRUGS  Introduction    Introduction  Two Very General Approaches to Thinking About    Drugs in Society: Positivism and Constructionism  Three General "Theories" of Crime and Society    Relevant to Drug Use  Cultural Theories    Conflict Theories  Feminist Theory    Sociological and Criminological Theories  Relevant to Drug Use	132 136 140 140 143 145 146
5.	RESPONSE TO DRUGS  Introduction    Introduction  Two Very General Approaches to Thinking About    Drugs in Society: Positivism and Constructionism  Three General "Theories" of Crime and Society    Relevant to Drug Use  Cultural Theories    Conflict Theories  Feminist Theory    Sociological and Criminological Theories  Relevant to Drug Use    The Classical School and Deterrence Theory  Theory	132 136 140 143 145 145 146
5.	RESPONSE TO DRUGS  Introduction    Introduction  Two Very General Approaches to Thinking About    Drugs in Society: Positivism and Constructionism  Three General "Theories" of Crime and Society    Relevant to Drug Use  Cultural Theories    Conflict Theories  Feminist Theory    Sociological and Criminological Theories  Relevant to Drug Use    The Classical School and Deterrence Theory  Social Disorganization Theory	132 136 140 140 143 145 146 146 146
5.	RESPONSE TO DRUGS  Introduction    Introduction  Two Very General Approaches to Thinking About    Drugs in Society: Positivism and Constructionism  Three General "Theories" of Crime and Society    Relevant to Drug Use  Cultural Theories    Conflict Theories  Feminist Theory    Sociological and Criminological Theories  Relevant to Drug Use    The Classical School and Deterrence Theory  Social Disorganization Theory    Routine Activity Theory  Social Disorganization Theory	132 136 140 140 143 145 146 149 149
5.	RESPONSE TO DRUGS  Introduction    Two Very General Approaches to Thinking About    Drugs in Society: Positivism and Constructionism    Three General "Theories" of Crime and Society    Relevant to Drug Use    Cultural Theories    Conflict Theories    Feminist Theory    Sociological and Criminological Theories    Relevant to Drug Use    The Classical School and Deterrence Theory    Social Disorganization Theory    Routine Activity Theory    Anomie and Strain Theories	132 136 140 143 145 146 146 149 153 155
5.	RESPONSE TO DRUGS  Introduction    Two Very General Approaches to Thinking About  Drugs in Society: Positivism and Constructionism    Three General "Theories" of Crime and Society    Relevant to Drug Use    Cultural Theories    Conflict Theories    Feminist Theory    Sociological and Criminological Theories    Relevant to Drug Use    The Classical School and Deterrence Theory    Social Disorganization Theory    Routine Activity Theory    Anomie and Strain Theories    Differential Association and Social Learning Theories	132 136 140 143 145 146 146 149 153 155 158
5.	RESPONSE TO DRUGS  Introduction    Two Very General Approaches to Thinking About    Drugs in Society: Positivism and Constructionism    Three General "Theories" of Crime and Society    Relevant to Drug Use    Cultural Theories    Conflict Theories    Feminist Theory    Sociological and Criminological Theories    Relevant to Drug Use    The Classical School and Deterrence Theory    Social Disorganization Theory    Routine Activity Theory    Anomie and Strain Theories	132 136 140 140 143 145 146 149 153 155 158 161

xiii

Drugs	and	Policing
-------	-----	----------

Integrated, Developmental and Life Course Theories	
and Drug Use170The Social Development Model171Moffits's Theory of Life Course Persistent171and Adolescent Limited Offending172The Gateway Hypothesis173Concluding Remarks1756. CONCLUDING REMARKS: DRUGS, LAW ENFORCE-MENT, AND THE LEGALIZATION DEBATE177Introduction177Arguments Against Drug Legalization179Drug Use Is Wrong181Drug Use Is Wrong181Drug Use Is Wrong182Drug Use Is Related to Crime182Drug Use Is Related to Crime183Arguments for Drug Legalization184Individuals Have a Right to Do What They184Mant to Their Bodies185Drug Laws Are Biased against the Poor and Minorities185The War on Drugs Is Costly and Taxing Drugs186The War on Drugs Creates Rather than188Legalization Would Reduce Harm from Bad Drugs188References191	Integrating Strain, Control, and Learning Theories169
Moffits's Theory of Life Course Persistent    and Adolescent Limited Offending  .172    The Gateway Hypothesis  .173    Concluding Remarks  .175    6. CONCLUDING REMARKS: DRUGS, LAW ENFORCE-    MENT, AND THE LEGALIZATION DEBATE  .177    Introduction  .177    Arguments Against Drug Legalization  .179    Drug Use Is Wrong  .181    Drugs Are Harmful  .182    Drug Use Harms the Social Fabric  .182    Drug Use Harms the Social Fabric  .182    Drug Use Is Related to Crime  .183    Arguments for Drug Legalization  .184    Individuals Have a Right to Do What They  Want to Their Bodies    Want to Their Bodies  .185    Drug Laws Are Biased against the Poor and Minorities  .185    The War on Drugs Is Costly and Taxing Drugs  Could Be Profitable for the Government  .186    The War on Drugs Creates Rather than  Prevents Crime  .188    Legalization Would Reduce Harm from Bad Drugs  By Making Them Legal  .181	and Drug Use
and Adolescent Limited Offending  .172    The Gateway Hypothesis  .173    Concluding Remarks  .175    6. CONCLUDING REMARKS: DRUGS, LAW ENFORCE-    MENT, AND THE LEGALIZATION DEBATE  .177    Introduction  .177    Arguments Against Drug Legalization  .179    Drug Use Is Wrong  .181    Drug Use Harms the Social Fabric  .182    Drug Use Harms the Social Fabric  .182    Drug Use Is Related to Crime  .183    Arguments for Drug Legalization  .184    Individuals Have a Right to Do What They  Want to Their Bodies    Want to Their Bodies  .185    Drug Laws Are Biased against the Poor and Minorities  .185    The War on Drugs Is Costly and Taxing Drugs  .180    Could Be Profitable for the Government  .186    The War on Drugs Creates Rather than  Prevents Crime  .188    Legalization Would Reduce Harm from Bad Drugs  By Making Them Legal  .181	
The Gateway Hypothesis  173    Concluding Remarks  175    6. CONCLUDING REMARKS: DRUGS, LAW ENFORCE-    MENT, AND THE LEGALIZATION DEBATE  177    Introduction  177    Arguments Against Drug Legalization  179    Drug Use Is Wrong  181    Drug Use Is Wrong  182    Drug Use Harms the Social Fabric  182    Drug Use Harms the Social Fabric  182    Drug Use Is Related to Crime  183    Arguments for Drug Legalization  184    Individuals Have a Right to Do What They  185    Want to Their Bodies  185    Drug Laws Are Biased against the Poor and Minorities  185    The War on Drugs Is Costly and Taxing Drugs  186    Could Be Profitable for the Government  186    The War on Drugs Creates Rather than  188    Prevents Crime  188    Legalization Would Reduce Harm from Bad Drugs  188    By Making Them Legal  188	•
Concluding Remarks	8
6.  CONCLUDING REMARKS: DRUGS, LAW ENFORCE- MENT, AND THE LEGALIZATION DEBATE  177    Introduction  177    Arguments Against Drug Legalization  179    Drug Use Is Wrong  181    Drugs Are Harmful  182    Drug Use Harms the Social Fabric  182    Legalization Would Increase the Number of Users  182    Drug Use Is Related to Crime  183    Arguments for Drug Legalization  184    Individuals Have a Right to Do What They  185    Drug Laws Are Biased against the Poor and Minorities  185    The War on Drugs Is Costly and Taxing Drugs  186    Could Be Profitable for the Government  188    Legalization Would Reduce Harm from Bad Drugs  188    Legalization Would Reduce Harm from Bad Drugs  188	, , , ,
MENT, AND THE LEGALIZATION DEBATE  .177    Introduction  .177    Arguments Against Drug Legalization  .179    Drug Use Is Wrong  .181    Drugs Are Harmful  .182    Drug Use Harms the Social Fabric  .182    Legalization Would Increase the Number of Users  .182    Drug Use Is Related to Crime  .183    Arguments for Drug Legalization  .184    Individuals Have a Right to Do What They  .185    Want to Their Bodies  .185    Drug Laws Are Biased against the Poor and Minorities  .185    The War on Drugs Is Costly and Taxing Drugs  .186    Could Be Profitable for the Government  .186    The War on Drugs Creates Rather than  .188    Prevents Crime  .188    Legalization Would Reduce Harm from Bad Drugs  .188    By Making Them Legal  .181	Concluding Remarks
Introduction.177Arguments Against Drug Legalization.179Drug Use Is Wrong.181Drugs Are Harmful.182Drug Use Harms the Social Fabric.182Legalization Would Increase the Number of Users.182Drug Use Is Related to Crime.183Arguments for Drug Legalization.184Individuals Have a Right to Do What They.185Want to Their Bodies.185Drug Laws Are Biased against the Poor and Minorities.185The War on Drugs Is Costly and Taxing Drugs.186The War on Drugs Creates Rather than.188Legalization Would Reduce Harm from Bad Drugs.188References.191	6. CONCLUDING REMARKS: DRUGS, LAW ENFORCE-
Arguments Against Drug Legalization  .179    Drug Use Is Wrong  .181    Drugs Are Harmful  .182    Drug Use Harms the Social Fabric  .182    Legalization Would Increase the Number of Users  .182    Drug Use Is Related to Crime  .183    Arguments for Drug Legalization  .184    Individuals Have a Right to Do What They  .185    Drug Laws Are Biased against the Poor and Minorities  .185    The War on Drugs Is Costly and Taxing Drugs  .186    Could Be Profitable for the Government  .186    The War on Drugs Creates Rather than  .188    Prevents Crime  .188    Legalization Would Reduce Harm from Bad Drugs  .188    References  .191	MENT, AND THE LEGALIZATION DEBATE
Arguments Against Drug Legalization  .179    Drug Use Is Wrong  .181    Drugs Are Harmful  .182    Drug Use Harms the Social Fabric  .182    Legalization Would Increase the Number of Users  .182    Drug Use Is Related to Crime  .183    Arguments for Drug Legalization  .184    Individuals Have a Right to Do What They  .185    Drug Laws Are Biased against the Poor and Minorities  .185    The War on Drugs Is Costly and Taxing Drugs  .186    Could Be Profitable for the Government  .186    The War on Drugs Creates Rather than  .188    Prevents Crime  .188    Legalization Would Reduce Harm from Bad Drugs  .188    References  .191	Introduction
Drugs Are Harmful182Drug Use Harms the Social Fabric182Legalization Would Increase the Number of Users182Drug Use Is Related to Crime183Arguments for Drug Legalization184Individuals Have a Right to Do What They185Want to Their Bodies185Drug Laws Are Biased against the Poor and Minorities185The War on Drugs Is Costly and Taxing Drugs186The War on Drugs Creates Rather than188Legalization Would Reduce Harm from Bad Drugs188References191	
Drugs Are Harmful182Drug Use Harms the Social Fabric182Legalization Would Increase the Number of Users182Drug Use Is Related to Crime183Arguments for Drug Legalization184Individuals Have a Right to Do What They185Want to Their Bodies185Drug Laws Are Biased against the Poor and Minorities185The War on Drugs Is Costly and Taxing Drugs186The War on Drugs Creates Rather than188Legalization Would Reduce Harm from Bad Drugs188References191	Drug Use Is Wrong
Drug Use Harms the Social Fabric182Legalization Would Increase the Number of Users182Drug Use Is Related to Crime183Arguments for Drug Legalization184Individuals Have a Right to Do What They185Want to Their Bodies185Drug Laws Are Biased against the Poor and Minorities185The War on Drugs Is Costly and Taxing Drugs186Could Be Profitable for the Government186The War on Drugs Creates Rather than188Legalization Would Reduce Harm from Bad Drugs188References191	
Drug Use Is Related to Crime183Arguments for Drug Legalization184Individuals Have a Right to Do What They185Want to Their Bodies185Drug Laws Are Biased against the Poor and Minorities185The War on Drugs Is Costly and Taxing Drugs186Could Be Profitable for the Government186The War on Drugs Creates Rather than188Legalization Would Reduce Harm from Bad Drugs188References191	
Drug Use Is Related to Crime183Arguments for Drug Legalization184Individuals Have a Right to Do What They185Want to Their Bodies185Drug Laws Are Biased against the Poor and Minorities185The War on Drugs Is Costly and Taxing Drugs186Could Be Profitable for the Government186The War on Drugs Creates Rather than188Legalization Would Reduce Harm from Bad Drugs188References191	Legalization Would Increase the Number of Users182
Arguments for Drug Legalization  .184    Individuals Have a Right to Do What They  .185    Want to Their Bodies  .185    Drug Laws Are Biased against the Poor and Minorities  .185    The War on Drugs Is Costly and Taxing Drugs  .186    Could Be Profitable for the Government  .186    The War on Drugs Creates Rather than  .188    Legalization Would Reduce Harm from Bad Drugs  .188    References  .191	
Individuals Have a Right to Do What They    Want to Their Bodies    Drug Laws Are Biased against the Poor and Minorities    185    The War on Drugs Is Costly and Taxing Drugs    Could Be Profitable for the Government    186    The War on Drugs Creates Rather than    Prevents Crime    188    Legalization Would Reduce Harm from Bad Drugs    By Making Them Legal    188    References	
Drug Laws Are Biased against the Poor and Minorities .185    The War on Drugs Is Costly and Taxing Drugs    Could Be Profitable for the Government	
The War on Drugs Is Costly and Taxing Drugs    Could Be Profitable for the Government    The War on Drugs Creates Rather than    Prevents Crime    Prevents Crime    By Making Them Legal    References    191	Want to Their Bodies
Could Be Profitable for the Government	Drug Laws Are Biased against the Poor and Minorities .185
The War on Drugs Creates Rather than    Prevents Crime    Legalization Would Reduce Harm from Bad Drugs    By Making Them Legal    References    191	The War on Drugs Is Costly and Taxing Drugs
Prevents Crime	Could Be Profitable for the Government
Legalization Would Reduce Harm from Bad Drugs    By Making Them Legal    References    191	The War on Drugs Creates Rather than
Legalization Would Reduce Harm from Bad Drugs    By Making Them Legal    References    191	Prevents Crime
<i>References</i>	Legalization Would Reduce Harm from Bad Drugs
·	By Making Them Legal
Index 907	References
11nuex	Index

DRUGS AND POLICING

# Chapter 1

# DRUGS AND LAW ENFORCEMENT: A SCIENTIFIC PERSPECTIVE

Things to think about as you read this chapter:

- 1. What is the difference between a scientific approach to understanding drug use and a personal approach?
- 2. Do you think certain factors cause individuals to use or abuse drugs?
- 3. Why is it important to understand the causes of substance use or abuse?
- 4. How can objectivity influence a police officer's actions?
- 5. Why is it important for drug researchers to practice ethical neutrality?
- 6. How can changes in drug control in one area of the world influence local policing?
- 7. Should individuals be skeptical about the criminal justice system's drug policies?
- 8. Is the drug problem real?

## INTRODUCTION

Fell over 1.5 million individuals were arrested for drug crimes each year between 2000 and 2002. In fact, in any given recent year, there are usually more arrests for drug offenses than for any other type of crime. When public intoxication, public drunkenness, and other alcohol-related crimes are added into the mix, over six million people were arrested for drug-related offenses in 2001. To put this into perspective, thirty-six states have a population less than six million. Clearly, law enforcement agencies devote a significant amount of resources and energies to controlling drug use. Consider the following recent cases:

- A convenience store owner was arrested for drug paraphernalia and other drug-related charges after an undercover police officer found that the owner was selling "Love Pens." Love Pens are crack-pipes that look like pens. Because the store was across the street from a high school, there was concern that safety of the students was being compromised (*Patriot News*, 2004).
- In a drug crackdown labeled "Operation Spring Clean," law enforcement officers in Donaldsonville, Louisiana, arrested seven people for selling crack cocaine to undercover officers (*Baton Rouge Advocate*, 2004).
- After raiding a crackhouse, narcotics agents posed as drug dealers and waited for unsuspecting crack buyers to come by the crackhouse. In just a couple of hours, the officers arrested two dozen people who tried to buy cocaine at the crackhouse (*Times-Picayune*, 2004).
- While a boat was pulling into Nantucket Harbor, a man saw some police waiting for him and jumped into the water and swam for twenty minutes. Police eventually caught him and his 140 grams of crack cocaine (*Boston Globe*, 2004).
- In Providence, Rhode Island, a police officer was accused of helping a drug dealer avoid arrest and another police officer was arrested for using the police department telephone to order Vicodin (a painkiller) and marijuana from the same drug dealer (*The Providence Journal*, 2004).
- A multi-agency investigation, "Operation Gunslinger," led to the arrest of individuals in the Reno/Sparkes, Nevada, area for (among other charges) possession with intent to distribute sixteen pounds of methamphetamine. In addition to the drugs, police confiscated \$25,000 and several handguns (*States News Service*, 2004).
- After discovering a small methamphetamine lab in a home in Chesapeake, Virginia, police found a larger lab on a large lot bordering Virginia and North Carolina owned by a resident in the first bust. Four arrests have been made and police have seized five vehicles, approximately \$7,500, and several firearms including a sawed-off shotgun (*The Virginian-Pilot*, 2004).
- A ex-Albuquerque police officer was arrested in 1999 after selling cocaine to an undercover officer. Apparently, during the transaction, the officer "bragged about quitting the APD because he

made more money selling drugs." Prior to being fired from the APD in 1997, the officer had taught anti-drug classes to fifth graders for a number of years (*Albuquerque Journal*, 2004).

The list could go on and on—well over a million and a half to cover just one year's worth of drug arrests. Despite this strong focus on controlling illicit drugs through law enforcement measures, most drug textbooks pay very little attention to the role of law enforcement in the official response to drug offending. Part of this has to do with the fact that most drug books targeting the social sciences are sociological or psychological, rather than criminological, in nature. The result is a potential lack of understanding about law enforcement's response to drugs. This is problematic for students of drug courses, future law enforcement professionals, current police officers, and policy makers. (See Box 1.1, In the Streets: Help Wanted, for information about a career in narcotics law enforcement.)

#### Box 1.1. In the Streets: Help Wanted—Narcotics Agent

Many positions are available working in agencies that handle drug offenses. One position in the Drug Enforcement Agency (DEA) is the special agent. Below is a job description of the special agent posted on the DEA's Homepage.

## Duties

Conduct surveillance; Infiltrate drug trafficking organizations; Conduct investigations; Arrest violators; Confiscate illegal drugs; Conduct money laundering investigations; Collect and prepare evidence; and Testify in criminal court cases.

## Qualifications

The Basics: Applicants must be U.S. citizens, between 21 and 36 years of age at the time of appointment, must possess a valid driver's license, and be able to obtain a Top Secret security clearance.

*Physical:* Excellent physical condition, sharp hearing acuity, and uncorrected vision of at least 20/200 (Snellen) and corrected vision of 20/20 in one eye and 20/40 in the other (Radial Keratotomy is disqualifying). Normal color vision, heavy lifting, and carrying of 45 pounds or more. (Hearing aids are disqualifying.)

(continued on next page)

#### Box 1.1. In the Streets: Help Wanted—Narcotics Agent (continued)

*Education:* Successful candidates possess a college degree with a cumulative GPA of 2.95 or better. Additional consideration will be given to those individuals who have degrees in special skill areas: Criminal Justice/Police Science or Related Disciplines, Finance, Accounting, Economics, Foreign Language (with fluency verified) in Spanish, Russian, Hebrew, Arabic, Nigerian, Chinese or Japanese, Computer Science/Information Systems, and Telecommunications/Electrical/Mechanical Engineering.

*Experience:* Substantive professional/administrative or certain law enforcement experience may be qualifying. Other special skills or experience (e.g., military officer, foreign language fluency, pilot/maritime experience, technical/mechanical skills, or accounting/auditing experience) may also be qualifying.

Additional: Successful completion of the Special Agent interview process requires a full disclosure of past drug use and urinalysis drug screening. Also, applicants must successfully complete a written and oral assessment, medical examination, physical task test, polygraph examination, a psychological assessment, exhaustive background investigation, and a final hiring decision.

Source: U.S. Drug Enforcement Agency Homepage. Available online at http://www.usdoj.gov/dea/resources/job\_applicants.html. Accessed May 17, 2004.

## You be the judge:

- 1. Would you be interested in this job? Explain.
- 2. What would you like most about the job? What would you dislike?

Many individuals have formed opinions about drugs, their use, and their control. Those who have strong opinions about drugs, regardless of what the opinion is, typically find the topic of drug use and drug control to be quite interesting. To bolster one's understanding of the control of illicit drug use, it is useful to approach the topic from a scientific perspective. A scientific perspective objectively examines a particular issue using rigorous analytical rules in an attempt to better understand and explain a phenomenon. Approaching the drug topic from a scientific perspective helps individuals set their values aside and allows them to critically analyze various issues surrounding the law enforcement response to the drug world.

The underlying theme of this book is that the law enforcement response to the drug world is best understood by using the scientific method as a guide in explaining various issues related to the control of