# **EFFECTIVE POLICE MANAGEMENT**

# EFFECTIVE POLICE MANAGEMENT

Striving for Accountability and Competence

By

## HARRY W. MORE

and

**TERRY L. MORE** 



CHARLES C THOMAS • PUBLISHER, LTD. Springfield • Illinois • U.S.A.

#### Published and Distributed Throughout the World by

#### CHARLES C THOMAS • PUBLISHER, LTD. 2600 South First Street Springfield, Illinois 62704

This book is protected by copyright. No part of it may be reproduced in any manner without written permission from the publisher. All rights reserved.

#### ©2012 by CHARLES C THOMAS • PUBLISHER, LTD.

ISBN 978-0-398-08823-1 (hard) ISBN 978-0-398-08824-8 (paper) ISBN 978-0-398-08825-5 (ebook)

#### Library of Congress Catalog Card Number: 2012015482

With THOMAS BOOKS careful attention is given to all details of manufacturing and design. It is the Publisher's desire to present books that are satisfactory as to their physical qualities and artistic possibilities and appropriate for their particular use. THOMAS BOOKS will be true to those laws of quality that assure a good name and good will.

> Printed in the United States of America CR-R-3

#### Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

More, Harry W.

Effective police management : striving for accountability and competence / by Harry W. More and Terry L. More.

p. cm.

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 978-0-398-08823-1 (hard) -- ISBN 978-0-398-08824-8 (pbk.) -- ISBN 978-0-398-08825-5 (ebook)

1. Police administration--United States. 2. Police--United States--Personnel management. 3. Police administration--Technological innovations--United States. 4. Police--Supervision of --United States. I. More, Terry L. II. Title.

HV8141.M648 2012 362.2'20973--dc23

2012015482

### PREFACE

T he future is here and inescapable even though we cannot really define the future. It is the pattern of change and its intensity that challenges law enforcement. This process is unrelenting, nonstatic, demanding and obligatory. The reality of change is such that it can be organizationally confusing, chaotic, indefinite, and in some instances very harmful. It cannot be ignored, and must be dealt with now, not tomorrow, or next year. American police agencies have become increasingly adept at working within the managerial process, developing both internal and external adaptive potential, but capability must be extended to every dimension of management of the police agency. All of this means constantly confronting and dealing with change on a continuing basis, and there must be a continuous search for means to respond to the imperatives of change. The challenge of change should be accepted, it must be viewed in a positive frame of reference and not seen as an abnormal anomaly. The paradigm for dealing with change is still openended. In recent years, community policing has entered the lexicon of law enforcement, and agencies have struggled to implement it with some difficulty. It is a major change and is practiced differently in various agencies across the nation, which is to be expected. It is the inevitable consequences of change, especially one that impacts internal and external stakeholders. There are numerous issues involved in creating a community policing effort ranging from training needs to measurement problems.

More recently, performance-based management has become dominant, especially with federal law enforcement agencies that have engaged in the implementation of this managerial approach. At the local level, the Seattle Police Department, Seattle, Washington, and the District of Columbia, Washington, D.C. Metropolitan Police Department (with federal funding) has instituted the program. The federal government passed a law more than 20 years ago that mandated the implementation of performance-based management, and as usual in other changes, it has proven to be an evolving process, but one that is slowly taking shape. It is anticipated that many of the issues that community policing has worked with will confront law enforcement agencies that strive to implement performance-base management (PBM). There seems to be a truism that these changes cannot occur rapidly and the best estimate is that it might take a minimum of seven years to actually implement such programs. The same is true of other programs such as problem analysis, the program evaluation process, compstat, and logic models. These techniques have been tried with varying degrees of success in various venues. Developmental problems as well as implementation problems remain a serious challenge to many law enforcement agencies, but over time these challenges will be accepted and overcome resulting in positive results for agencies and communities.

The content of this text is such that the chapters are interrelated. Chapters 1, 2, 4, 6, 7, and 8 apply to the chapters that deal with Logic Models, Program Evaluation, Compstat, and the Problem Solving Process to the degree that the reader wants to make use of them, and the chapter on Accountability proscribes all of the managerial processes. Crime Mapping has utility in differing organizational evaluations and applied operations. It is a matter of a selection process depending upon the needs of the agency. If one looks at the total agency, the Performance-Based Management process would certainly be applicable. This is also true of the Logic Model to a lesser degree, but still depends upon the process being researched. Compstat would seem to be more applicable to middle management and higher at this time, while the Problem Solving Process chapter deals with differing levels of an organization with special reference to line operations and a serious consideration of external stakeholders. Program Evaluation applies to the whole organization and/or it is useful in determining the effectiveness of individual organizational components. A flexible approach is recommended, keeping in mind the necessity of modifying the approach to community and organizational needs.

> H.W.M. T.L.M.

### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Numerous individuals and organizations contributed to the completion of this textbook by providing material this textbook by providing material or referring to other sources: The National Criminal Reference Service, Office of Community Oriented Policing Services, National Institute of Justice, Office of Justice Programs, Bureau of Justice Statistics, Center for Performance Evaluation and Performance Measurement, Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention, Center of Problem Oriented Policing, Center for Court Innovation, U.S. Air Force, National Science Foundation, U.S. General Accountability Office, U.S. Census Bureau, Bureau of Justice Assistance, Federal Bureau of Investigation, U.S. Office of Personnel Management, U.S. Department of Agriculture, U.S. Department of Energy, International City/County Management Association, U.S. Department of Commerce, Government Performance and Results Act, National Partnership for Reinventing Government, Police Executive Research Forum, Police Foundation, The Gilmore Commission, The Institute for Executive Development Home Office, Lean Enterprise Institute, Orion Constellation, Balanced Scorecard Institute, National Academy of Public Administration, StatPac, Inc., California Department of Justice, Oakridge Associated Universities, Abt Associates, Inc., University of Idaho, University of Missouri Systems, University of Wisconsin, and the Urban Institute.

Additional thanks are extended to the following publishers Anderson, Random House, Waveland, The Free Press, Wiley, Plume, McGraw-Hill, Charles C Thomas, Pearson Prentice Hall, Jossey-Bass, Houghton-Mifflin, Justice Quarterly, American Sociological Review, Harvard Business Press, Springer-Verlag, Quality Press, Quinto Press, Penguin Press, Kogan Page, Goal/QPC, Oxford University Press, Herald Sun, New York Times, New York Daily News, FBI Law Enforcement Bulletin, The Police Chief, Willan Publishing, Sage Publications, Looseleaf Law Publications, Wadsworth, Northeastern University Press, Criminal Justice Press, and Claredon Press.

Additional resources were police and sheriffs departments–Metropolitan, D.C., Philadelphia, Alexandria, Boise, Austin, Boston, Denver, Lincoln, Sacramento, Tulsa, Youngstown, Cincinnati, Las Vegas, Madison, San Francisco, San Jose, Tucson, Los Angeles Sheriff's Department, Georgia State Department of Public Safety, New York Police Department, New Jersey Department of Law and Public Safety, and the Royal Canadian Mounted Police.

# CONTENTS

Prefac	Pa	ige V
Chap	ter	
	VINDS OF CHANGE: DEALING WITH THE INEVITABLE	
	The Politics of Policing in an Earlier Day	4
	The Professional Policing Model Distanced the Police from the Public	1
	The Community Policing Model Emphasized Working with	4
	Stakeholders	5
	COPPS	
A	Way to Assess a Department's Level of Community Policing	6
L	inking Individual Performance and Organizational Success	10
Т	The Need for Accountability Management	11
	Change Becomes a Reality of Organizational Life	
	The Nature and Types of Resistance to Change	
	The Influence of Technological Change	
	ociocultural and Demographic Change	
R	References	23
2. C	CRITICAL SUCCESS FACTORS FOR MANAGING PEOPLE:	
C	CREATING AN ENVIRONMENT OF ACHIEVEMENT2	26
F	Iuman Capital Challenges in Police Departments	27
E	Light Critical Success Factors    2	28
C	Components of Leadership2	29
	Elements of Strategic Human Capital Planning	
	Acquiring, Developing, and Retaining Talent	
R	Results-Oriented Organizational Cultures	31

	Striving to Create a Results-Oriented Culture	. 32
	Key Practices of a Performance Management System (PMS)	. 32
	A System for Follow-up Action	. 34
	Cultural Transformation in Law Enforcement	
	References	
3.	CREATING A HIGH PERFORMANCE AGENCY:	
	IMPLMENTATION AND MAINTENANCE	. 41
	Definition of Performance-Based Management (PBM)	
	Phases of Performance-Based Management (PBM)	
	Responsibilities of Internal Stakeholders	
	Advantages of Performance-Based Management	
	Phase One: Identify the Vision, Values and Mission of the	. 10
	Agency and Related Strategic Performance Objectives	.50
	The Planning Document	
	A Strategic Plan for the Seattle Police Department	
	A Mission Statement Must Be Clear, Concise. And Credible	
	Vision Statements	
	Value Statements	
	Vision Goals and Objectives	
	Strategic Goals and Objectives	
	References	
4.	A SYSTEM FRAMEWORK FOR IMPLEMENTATION	. 73
••	Phase Two: Ascertain the Components of an Integrated	0
	Performance Measurement System	. 74
	Utilizing a Framework Clarifying the Extent and Nature of a	
	Problem	. 76
	Three Types of Measures	
	Uniqueness of High-Quality Performance Measures	
	Getting Organized in Order to Create a Measurement System	
	Principles of Law Enforcement	
	Developing Performance Metrics	
	The Smart Test	
	Commonly Used Measures in Law Enforcement	
	Metropolitan Police Department, Washington, DC	
	References	

х

Cor	nta	nte
uu	ue	us

5.	ACCOUNTABILITY FOR PERFORMANCE: HOLDING
	PEOPLE AND PROGRAMS RESPONSIBLE
	Phase Three: Establish Accountability for Performance
	Definition of Accountability for Performance-Based Management 98
	Key Aspects of Accountability
	Five Levels of Accountability 100
	An Accountability Environment and Framework 101
	Some Implementation Impediments
	Accountability for Performance Goals and Measures for The
	Position of Chief of Police
	Varying Rating Terminology 105
	Performance Evaluation for the Inspector of a Regional Field
	Operation–Support
	Performance Evaluation of a Commander of Investigative Field
	Operations
	References
6.	ACQUIRING DATA TO ASSESS PERFORMANCE:
	AN ESSENTIAL INGREDIENT 113
	Phase Four: Develop a System for Collecting Performance Data 114
	Determining Data Requirements 115
	Components of a Data Collection Plan 117
	An Extensive Range of Information Sources
	Process, Frequency and Costs 119
	Level of Quality Data
	Data Collection Considerations 120
	Three Primary Sampling Methods 121
	Designing and Conducting a Survey 122
	References
7.	ESTABLISH A PROCESS FOR DATA EVALUATION:
	RATIONALE AND DEVELOPMENTAL 125
	Phase Five: Establish a Process for Analyzing, Reviewing, and
	Reporting Performance Data 126
	Principles for the Collection of Data
	Model for Generating Knowledge
	Task Force/Team Performance   129
	Use of Statistics When Measuring Performance
	Elements as Part of Performance Appraisal 133

Writing Measurable Standards	135
Evaluation of the Individual Level	
Evaluation of the Team Level	
Generating Useful Information	
Data Presentation of Evaluation Data	
References	
<ul> <li>8. USING PERFORMANCE INFORMATION TO DRIVE A SYSTEM: CREATING A VIABLE SYSTEM</li></ul>	143 144 144 146 147
The Shewhart Cycle as an Input-Output Model	
Research Instruments for Measuring Performance	
Phases of Process Improvements	
References	154
9. EVALUATING A PROGRAM: SEARCHING FOR OPERATIONAL AND ORGANIZATIONAL EFFECTIVENESS Questions That Can Be Used For an Evaluation Assessment . Three-Time Intervals for Evaluations . Seven Evaluation Steps . Step One: Problem Definition . Step Two: Identifying Objectives and Goals . Step Three: Research Design . Step Four: Identify Measures . Step Four: Identify Measures . Step Five: Collect and Analyze Data . Step Six: Detail Findings . Step Seven: Reassess Program . References .	158 158 159 160 163 164 165 167 167 170
OPERATIONAL AND ORGANIZATIONALEFFECTIVENESSQuestions That Can Be Used For an Evaluation Assessment .Three-Time Intervals for EvaluationsSeven Evaluation StepsStep One: Problem DefinitionStep Two: Identifying Objectives and GoalsStep Three: Research DesignStep Four: Identify MeasuresStep Five: Collect and Analyze DataStep Six: Detail FindingsStep Seven: Reassess ProgramReferences	158 159 160 163 164 165 167 167 170 171
OPERATIONAL AND ORGANIZATIONALEFFECTIVENESSQuestions That Can Be Used For an Evaluation Assessment .Three-Time Intervals for EvaluationsSeven Evaluation StepsStep One: Problem DefinitionStep Two: Identifying Objectives and GoalsStep Three: Research DesignStep Four: Identify MeasuresStep Five: Collect and Analyze DataStep Six: Detail FindingsStep Seven: Reassess ProgramReferences	158 159 160 163 164 165 167 167 170 171
OPERATIONAL AND ORGANIZATIONALEFFECTIVENESSQuestions That Can Be Used For an Evaluation Assessment .Three-Time Intervals for EvaluationsSeven Evaluation StepsStep One: Problem DefinitionStep Two: Identifying Objectives and GoalsStep Three: Research DesignStep Four: Identify MeasuresStep Five: Collect and Analyze DataStep Six: Detail FindingsStep Seven: Reassess ProgramReferences	158 159 160 163 164 165 167 167 170 171 172 173

Transpose the Thought Flow177Employ Two Logic Models by Reversing the Process177Inputs Can Be Invested in a Program177Activities That Are Engaged In to Accomplish Program Results178Outputs That Law Enforcement Uses178The Importance of Program Outcomes178Chain of Results and the Logic Model179References180
11. THE GOAL-ORIENTED STRATEGIC MANAGEMENT PROCESS: STRATAGEM AND ANSWERABILITY 181
Four Principles of Compstat182Accurate and Timely Intelligence183Effective Tactics Created By Management For Dealing With
Problems184Rapid Deployment of Personnel and Resources187Relentless Follow-up and Assessment188The Compstat Model189
Rapid Disbursement of Data190The Compstat Statistics (CompStat) Book190The Compstat Model and Community Policing192References194
12. PROACTIVE PROBLEM SOLVING: A PROGRAM OF
POSITIVE ACTION196Proactive Problem Solving198SARA – Scanning, Analysis, Response, Assessment199Problem-Oriented Policing (POP)200Scanning To Determine the Nature of a Problem200
CHEERS – Community, Harmful, Expectations, Events, Recurring, Similarity
Objectives of the Scanning Process203Methods That Can Be Used To Define a Problem204Stakeholders and the Problem-Solving Process205The Environmental Survey206
The Use of Analysis to Arrive At a Solution

Sequence of Analysis – Where to Begin
Crime Triangle – Victims, Offenders, Place
Response – Analysis of Casual Factors and Related Conditions 212
Assessment – Measuring How Well Things Worked
References
13. MAPPING CRIME: FROM GENERAL USEAGE TO HOT
SPOTS
Ensuring That a Map Is Really Useful
Incidents of Crime
Types of Map Information
SMART Mapping-Socioeconomic Mapping and Resource
Topography
Designing Maps for Specific Audiences
Defining Hot Spots
Place Theory Clarifies The Reason for Crime at a Specific
Location
Street Theory – Definition And Measurements
Neighborhood Theory – A Higher Level of Analysis
Repeat Victimization Theory
References
Index

# **EFFECTIVE POLICE MANAGEMENT**

# Chapter 1

# WINDS OF CHANGE: DEALING WITH THE INEVITABLE

#### Key Terms:

Accountability Management Change **Community Policing** COPPS Decentralization Economic Change Misconduct **Organizational Success** Partnerships Politics Problem-Solving Professionalization Resistance Self-assessment Tool (CP-SAT) Sociocultural Change Technological Transformation

Historical analysis of policing in America suggests that the earlier functions of the police revolved around crime control, order maintenance, and the provision of services. During this period, the police were part and parcel of the political era of law enforcement that began in the nineteenth century. The story is told that a new mayor in a major city saw his brotherin-law in a soup kitchen line, called him over, and appointed him to the position of chief of police.

### THE POLITICS OF POLICING IN AN EARLIER DAY

For years, local politicians and political parties dominated law enforcement, and numerous chiefs of police were appointed to their position as the result of belonging to the incumbent's political party. Heads of police agencies supported their benefactors and usually became unemployed when an opposing political power took over the reins. Politicians and those with clout were given special favors, and the police performed many social functions, such as running soap kitchens and finding lodging for the needy. Additionally, some departments collected taxes and performed census duties. The police were the dumping ground for duties that were not specifically assigned to other governmental agencies. The byword was "let the police handle it!" Political interference with the police was rampant, and corruption was widespread. For the most part, policing was ineffective and nonexistent in some communities and the politicians were viewed as controllers of the police. In many instances, the police proscribed industrial riots and took the side of management as opposed to unions, and this was accomplished through the use of force. This was especially true in copper and coal mining communities.

Shocked by what was occurring in the police service, there was a call for reform during the first part of the twentieth century, and over time the insidious aspects of politics was removed from the enforcement equation. Police departments reached a high level of autonomy, and crime control soon became the principal function of police agencies.

### THE PROFESSIONAL POLICING MODEL DISTANCED THE POLICE FROM THE PUBLIC

The professionalism movement was governed by the quasi-military model and top-down management. Leadership stressed oversight and control with the ultimate goal of maintaining the status quo. The automobile, telephone and radio gave the police the ability to expediently respond to calls for service. Policy, procedures, and regulations were part and parcel of this movement. The guiding theme seemed to be don't ask, just follow departmental policy and whatever you do, don't rock the boat. Several things occurred during this era. First, the police distanced themselves from the public. Direct contact with citizens lessened throughout efforts to professionalize policing (Fisher-Stewart, 2007). Second, managerial control became a management imperative, and lastly, professionalism governed every thought and action (Wadman, 2009). Isolationism dominated the police relationship with the public, and the foundation for the existence of the police was the law. Consequently, law enforcement agencies became harbingers of the status quo dominated by one theme–professionalism.

### THE COMMUNITY POLICING MODEL EMPHASIZED WORKING WITH STAKEHOLDERS

The era of law enforcement that came into existence after the professional period is described as the community policing era starting in the 1980s (Kelling & Moore, 1988). This was a major shift in the approach to law enforcement as reflected in the fact that the authority for this strategy emanates from a combination of community support, law, and professionalism. This process calls for emphasis on the control and the prevention of crime. It was unique to law enforcement because it called for decentralization of the organization, collaboration with stakeholders, and the use of teams (Finney, 2008). It called for working with and in the community in a consultative partnership (Diamond & Weiss, 2009).

### COPPS

Part of a dual strategy is the adoption of community-oriented policing and problem solving (COPPS) as a means of dealing with crime. Community policing, when and if fully implemented, represents a significant shift of power from the top echelons of management to the operational level, and overall the organization becomes more of an open system (Peak & Glensor, 2002).

Embraced and cast off, it still remains as a much talked-about effort on part of the police to confront and deal with the vagrancies of crime, delinquency, disorder, and the fear of crime. For over three decades, police leadership has committed to the notion of dealing differently with the policing needs in an increasingly diverse, complex, and sometimes disparate society. Some segments of our society are estranged when it comes to dealing with law enforcement, and they feel isolated from the main stream. Community