

# 2ND MASTERING EDITION T = 455 = 55 / = 1 CENTER PROCESS The Fast Track to Promotion $\checkmark$ $\checkmark$ LINSEY C. WILLIS

# MASTERING THE ASSESSMENT CENTER PROCESS

#### **ABOUT THE AUTHOR**

Dr. Linsey Willis, with more than thirty-nine years of Human Resources and management experience, is currently president of L.J. CRAIG & Associates Inc., a management and organizational consulting firm. Her firm's clients represent a diverse mix of organizations from the public, private and notfor-profit sectors in the U.S., the Caribbean, Canada, Thailand and Dubai, U.A.E. Linsey serves as an expert witness for attorneys in the employment law and personal industry disciplines. Dr. Willis is also a full-time instructor for Florida Atlantic University's College of Business. She is on the faculty of its Management Department and teaches management and human resources undergraduate and graduate courses. She also teaches for the university's Executive Education department, for the HR and Advanced HR programs awarding students certificates of completion. Dr. Willis holds a doctorate in Public Administration from Nova Southeastern University, a master's degree in forensic studies from Indiana University, a master's degree in public administration from Florida Atlantic University, and a B.A. in political science from the University of Kentucky. She has also earned certification in human resources from the Human Resources Certification Institute which has certified her as a Senior Human Resources Professional (SPHR).

# **Second Edition**

# MASTERING THE ASSESSMENT CENTER PROCESS

# **The Fast Track to Promotion**

By

### LINSEY C. WILLIS, DPA, SPHR

President, L. J. Craig & Associates, Inc. Boca Raton, Florida

Instructor Florida Atlantic University College of Business – Management Department Boca Raton, Florida



CHARLES C THOMAS • PUBLISHER, LTD. Springfield • Illinois • U.S.A.

#### Published and Distributed Throughout the World by

#### CHARLES C THOMAS • PUBLISHER, LTD. 2600 South First Street Springfield, Illinois 62704

This book is protected by copyright. No part of it may be reproduced in any manner without written permission from the publisher. All rights reserved.

#### © 2021 by CHARLES C THOMAS • PUBLISHER, LTD.

#### ISBN 978-0-398-09370-9 (paper) ISBN 978-0-398-09371-6 (ebook)

#### First Edition, 2017 Second Edition, 2021

Library of Congress Catalog Card Number: 2021014731 (print) 2021014732 (ebook)

With THOMAS BOOKS careful attention is given to all details of manufacturing and design. It is the Publisher's desire to present books that are satisfactory as to their physical qualities and artistic possibilities and appropriate for their particular use. THOMAS BOOKS will be true to those laws of quality that assure a good name and good will.

> Printed in the United States of America MM-C-1

#### Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Names: Willis, Linsey, author.

- Title: Mastering the assessment center process : the fast track to promotion / by Linsey C. Willis, DPA, SPHR.
- Description: Second edition. | Springfield, Illinois : Charles C Thomas, Publisher, Ltd., [2021] | Includes bibliographical references and index.
- Identifiers: LCCN 2021014731 (print) | LCCN 2021014732 (ebook) | ISBN 9780398093709 (paperback) | ISBN 9780398093716 (ebook)
- Subjects: LCSH: Police–United States–Examinations, questions, etc. | Police–Promotions–United States. | Police administration–United States. | Police–Vocational guidance–United States.
- Classification: LCC HV8143 .W54 2021 (print) | LCC HV8143 (ebook) | DDC 363.2/2076–dc23

LC record available at https://lccn.loc.gov/2021014731

LC ebook record available at https://lccn.loc.gov/2021014732

This book is dedicated to all the members of the Thin Blue Line that protect all of us each day, and do it without fame, without fortune, and without fail.

A special dedication is made to the members of the line that have fallen in service, and to their families. Their memories of their loved ones, and the mission of the Thin Blue Line, live on in all of us.

#### PREFACE

Thank you for purchasing this book. It was written because I know that you need and want what this book offers. After reading the sample exercises and commentary contained herein, you will be convinced that your time and money have been well spent. Why? Because you will have practiced the exercises herein, and beyond just reading about the assessment center (AC) process, you will have learned about many of the most important components of the process. For example, you will know what assessor notes look like and how they should be taken. You will know what a comprehensive Assessor Guide looks like and why an assessor's notes are so important.

I have read most of the books about the AC process, its skill dimensions, descriptions of the AC process, tips for preparing for an AC evaluation, and much more. Of course, a number of those books provide some sample inbasket items, but most are missing many of the essential sample exercises and components included in this book. Law enforcement officers preparing for promotion evaluations understand and can prepare for a paper-and-pencil 100-item multiple-choice test, but they have shared with me that often they are confused, afraid, nervous or just plain curious about what they will experience when they go through the AC process.

And, the AC process is the "Cadillac" of systems used to identify management potential I know of and the best method for testing whether a candidate can demonstrate a talent for planning and organizing and possesses the necessary judgment, communication skills, and decision-making ability to move up the ranks. Many candidates do possess these abilities but have not learned how to apply them. This book will teach you how to use all your potential. Just possessing certain innate abilities or really wanting a job is not enough. You must be prepared to show that you can do that job, and you must learn how to do that. In addition, it is almost impossible for an individual to fake his/her way through an AC process, although many candidates who have failed, perceive that their "buddies" did in fact do just that. But, as you probably already know, the assessors are sitting in the back of the room taking notes or will take notes later while listening to an audio or video recording of the process. If candidates are trying to fake their way through it, the assessors will note that they peppered their answers with a lot of "uhs" or "ums," repeated phrases, or made statements that had nothing to do with what the exercise is really about, or wrote things unrelated to the exercise. If the situation occurs where one or more candidates are able to obtain high scores even though they were not prepared, then the process as conducted was not valid.

Here are some examples of invalid processes:

- No job analysis was conducted.
- The exercises are based on vague job descriptions.
- Some of the exercise components may never occur in the agency.
- The exercise response standards are severely lacking in content.
- There is no evidence of a linkage between the job tasks and the abilities required to successfully complete the tasks.
- If no job analysis exists the weight given each skill dimension will not be available.
- There is no record of critical incident job analysis notes obtained during assessment process conducted by the consultant.
- Because the assessors indicate they were trained by another vendor, they may not be trained for the specific agency's AC process.
- Candidates who participate in a process for their city or agency helped a vendor develop the exercises and then the vendor was hired by their city to administer the process they will be competing in.
- The chief or assistant chief develops material that gives the impression of internal bias or suggests that the process will not be strictly confidential.
- Some assessors take few or no notes and the test administrators do nothing about this because no one will be auditing the final score sheets.
- There are problems during the administration of the process which provide an unfair disadvantage to the candidates who experienced these problems. Sometimes there are mechanical problems such as printer breaking down. Perhaps some candidates have long wait times between exercises and others do not; or candidates are not sequestered and morning candidates share information with their friends whose process starts later.

All of the sample exercises included in this book have been used in past AC processes or in customized coaching exercises. The names of the individuals and agencies have been excluded for confidentiality purposes. Read on, and learn at a pace comfortable for you and treasure your new insights.

What also makes our knowledge and experience so relevant for you is that all of the other consultants in the United States whose work and reputations I am familiar with, do not have the 360-degree experience with the AC process. The 360-degree feedback process involves obtaining feedback from a circle of personnel who extend beyond direct reports. I am not minimizing or denigrating the knowledge they add to the topic at hand, because I have read their books and many of the books are very well written and comprehensive. The consultants who provide group training on the AC process do not have the knowledge and training on how to design, develop, implement, administer and score AC exercises. They have only taken their knowledge and experience with AC processes they have participated in and turned this experience into a consulting enterprise.

Consulting firms make considerable money when 25–30 people pay anywhere from \$500-\$1,500 for one or two days of group training. This is an excellent way to learn what you will be experiencing after you pass the written examination. My firm (L.J. Craig & Associates) has a totally different business model. We provide our clients with a return on their investment; approximately 98 percent of the law enforcement officers we have coached over the past several years have been promoted. Their ranks range from sergeant up through and including chief of police, from all across the United States, from small agencies to large city departments, and including state highway patrol officers and sheriff's deputies and some federal agencies.

The design, development, administration and scoring of any AC process takes anywhere from 300 to 600 work hours—for smaller agencies with fewer than a dozen candidates. At a minimal cost of \$20 per hour, that would represent a minimum cost of \$6,000 to \$12,000 for such an assessment center. A good AC process is extremely labor intensive.

I have always breathed a sigh of relief once a process is completed. This is because of the rigorous nature of the design and administration of the process which includes writing candidate feedback reports (this process is too time consuming and difficult for assessors). Other consultants I know from other firms have been relieved at completion, too—because no lawsuit had been filed. That has happened many times all over the United States since the 1970s, especially with large urban departments. We have never had a lawsuit filed against our firm nor has anyone threatened to file one. Nonetheless, it is always a concern.

With coaching, I have never had a sigh-of-relief moment after that process is over. In fact, after every coaching assignment, I have felt elated, proud and delighted because I knew I helped someone to best utilize their innate abilities. As a professional and a human being, I love the face-to-face contact most (of the time via Zoom) after the promotion process is complete.

ix

L.C.W.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

In June 2015 during the annual meeting I have with my two affiliates, Bill Reilly, Assistant Police Chief (ret,) and Lt. Paul Patti (ret), we discussed our goals for the coming year. We meet at the same place each year and dine on seafood in Melbourne, FL. During our lunch, great ideas are generated and my affiliates had a great idea which was presented to me more like a police command: "Linsey, you have to write a book on AC's and use an experiential approach." This book fulfills their command in less time than I anticipated. Therefore, I first want to acknowledge Bill and Paul for their collaboration, inspiration, support, and friendship.

I also want to acknowledge my husband, J. Frank Willis, without whose support, love, nurturing, interest, and admiration, I would not be doing what I am doing at this stage of my career.

My appreciation and admiration are also owed to Dr. Pat Maher, a retired police lieutenant, with whom I have been friends since 1982. We met at a conference on the assessment center process and thereafter have worked together on various projects including conference presentations. Additionally, the help of Rick Michelson, also a retired police lieutenant, is also acknowledged. I also want to thank Andy Scott, a former police chief, whom I have also known for more than 20 years. He has been a supportive colleague, former client and friend. Finally, I want to acknowledge Alan Chertok, a lawyer and retired police chief, whose national and international law enforcement experience makes him exceptionally well qualified to have reviewed my book.

Others who read and gave invaluable input on this book include: Dr. Melinda Holmes; Craig Roegner, RAC, federal ATF; and Michael Annese, a fire department battalion chief, author of *The Victory Cycle* (2015), and a successful candidate in past assessment center processes.

Last but not least, I want to thank Dr. David Herst a colleague at Florida Atlantic University's College of Business.

One additional and very important person I want to acknowledge is Dr. Robert S. Sloat, one of my associates helped edit my book.

Thank you all so much.

# CONTENTS

Page
Preface
List of Exhibits xix
CHAPTER 1. INTRODUCTION
CHAPTER 2. YOUR CANDIDATE TRAINING SCRIPT 4
Overview
The Assessment Center Process
A Brief History
Assessment Center Process Specifics
Why Assessment Center Measurements Are Accurate
Overall Assessment Ratings 10
Advantage of Working in Groups
Practice is Beneficial
Observation–Use of Behavioral Data
Categorization of Behavioral Observations
Validity and Reliability
The Job Analysis
An Assessor's Roles and Responsibilities
Purpose of Evaluating Behavior
Sample Rating Scales
Distribution of Scores
The Assessor Integration Discussion
Determining the Final Overall Rating
The Candidate Feedback Report
CHAPTER 3. THE ASSESSOR GUIDES
Overview
Difference Between an Ability, Skill and Competency

Competency Model for Management Selection
in a Large Public Sector Organization
List of Many Universal Abilities
Skill Dimensions and Definitions
Behaviorally Anchored Rating Scale (BARS)
CHAPTER 4. HOW PRACTICING CRITICAL
INCIDENTS CAN HELP DEVELOP YOUR SKILLS
Overview
Studying and Practicing Your Skills with Critical Incidents
A Critical Incident, Question and Assessor Guide
Why and How You Can Use Critical Incidents to Develop
Your Innate Abilities
CHAPTER 5. PRACTICE, PRACTICE, PRACTICE
Practice Instructions for All Exercises
Layout of In-Basket (IB) Items
Practice Guide for Exercises with A Role-Player
Using the Assessor Guides to Develop Your Innate Abilities
esing are researed endes to Develop Tour Innate Romates
CHAPTER 6. THE INFAMOUS IN-BASKET (IB) EXERCISE 48
Instructional Overview
In-Basket Item Description Guide
Exercise 6-1: ABC Police Department Oral, With Notes
In-Basket Exercise–Lieutenant
Candidate Instructions
Scenario
Instructional Summary
Analysis and Discussion About the In-Basket Exercise
CHAPTER 7. OTHER FORMATS FOR IN-BASKET (IB)
EXERCISES
Instructional Overview
Exercise 7-1: ABC Police Department, Oral, with Notes
In-Basket Exercise – Sergeant
Candidate Instructions
Scenario
Oral, with Written Scenarios IB Exercise
Instructional Overview

Contents	5
----------	---

Exercise 7-2: ABC Police Department, Oral, with Written
Scenarios In-Basket Exercise – Sergeant
Candidate Instructions
Scenario 1
Scenario 2
Scenario 3
Scenario 4
Instructional Summary
Analysis and Discussion About Different Formats
for In-Basket Exercises
CHAPTER 8. DIFFERENT TYPES OF WRITTEN EXERCISES 102
Instructional Overview
Candidate Instructions 102
The Exercise Material
The Assessor Guide
Exercise 8-1: ABC Police Department Community
Policing Problem Analysis Exercise–Lieutenant
Candidate Instructions 104
Problem 1
Problem 2 105
Exercise 8-2: ABC Police Department Essay(s) Exercise—
Captain
Candidate Instructions 106
Question 1: New Technologies: Promoting/ Implementing/
Maintaining
Question 2: Mission Statement and Goals
Question 3: Broken Windows 107
Question 4: Dealing with the Mentally Ill
Instructional Summary 108
Analysis and Discussion About Written Exercises 108
CHAPTER 9. ROLE-PLAYING EXERCISES
Instructional Overview
Some Research About Role Play Exercises
Some Pros of Using Role Players 110
Face Validity
Excellent Opportunity to Document Body Language
Same Role Player Used for Each Candidate

Some Cons of Using Role Players 111
Role Player Deviates from the Script 111
Not Enough Time to Memorize the Script
Cost to the Agency 111
Potential Candidate Complaints About Being Treated
Differently by the Role Player
Management and Monitoring of Each Role Player
Exercise 9-1: ABC Police Department Subordinate
Counseling Exercise–Sergeant
Candidate Instructions
Instructional Summary 115
Analysis and Discussion About the Subordinate
Counseling Exercises
Analysis and Discussion About Different
Role-Playing Exercises
CHAPTER 10. PROBLEM ANALYSIS (PA) ORAL
PRESENTATION EXERCISES 118
Instructional Overview
Exercise 10-1: ABC Police Department Problem Analysis
Oral Presentation Exercise–Lieutenant
Candidate Instructions 120
Zone 34 Geographic Information Issues and Problems 120
Employee Issue
CHAPTER 11. CRITICAL INCIDENT WRITTEN
AND ORAL PRESENTATION EXERCISES
Instructional Overview
Exercise 11-1: ABC Police Department Critical Incident
Exercise–Lieutenant
Candidate Instructions
Part 1: Initial Incident
Part 2: Seven Minutes into the Incident
Exercise 11-2: ABC Police Department Program Development
Written and Oral Presentation Exercise–Captain
Candidate Instructions
Part 1: Written Portion
Part 2: Oral Portion
Instructional Summary 130

Analysis and Discussion About Critical Incident Written and Oral Presentation Exercises
and Oral Presentation Exercises
CHAPTER 12. THE LEADERLESS GROUP DISCUSSION
(LGD) EXERCISE
Overview
Historical Overview
Exercise Mechanics
Exercise 12-1: ABC Police Department Leaderless Group
Discussion Exercise–Lieutenant
Candidate Instructions
Instructional Summary 138
Analysis and Discussion About Leaderless Group
Discussion Exercises
CHAPTER 13. VIDEO-BASED TESTING (VBT) AS PART OF
AN ASSESSMENT CENTER PROCESS
Instructional Overview
Some Specifics
Some Administrative Issues
CHAPTER 14. SITUATIONAL JUDGMENT TESTS (SJTS) 142
Instructional Overview
Handling Stress
Some Research About SJTs
Exercise 14-1: Situational Judgment Scenario with Response
Standards
Scenario: Assignment from Lieutenant
Instructional Summary 146
Exercise 14-2: Situational Judgment Scenario with Response
Standards
Scenario: Sergeant Task Team148
Instructional Summary 149
Instructional Overview149
Three Motivating Confidence Building Scenarios
Exercise 14-3: Situational Judgment Scenarios with Response
Standards
Scenario 1: Motivation
Scenario 2: Confidence Building 151

Contents

xvii

Scenario 3: Motivation 151
Instructional Overview
Analysis and Discussion about SJTs
CHAPTER 15. THE FUTURE OF POLICING EXERCISES 153
Overview
Table 1: Police Officer Shootings 2014–2020154
Instructional Overview
Exercise 15-1: ABC Police Department Bias in Policing Problem
Analysis Oral Presentation Exercise–Sergeant
Exercise 15-2: ABC Police Department Use of Non-Lethal Force
Training Exercise–Sergeant
Exercise 15-3: ABC Police Department Body Cameras Problem
Analysis Oral Presentation and Written Exercise–Lieutenant 171
Exercise 15-4: ABC Police Department President's Task
Force on 21st Century Policing Problem Solving Written and
Oral Presentation Exercise–Captain or Deputy Chief 173
Exercise 15-5: ABC Police Department Culture Change Written
and Oral Presentation Exercise Deputy Chief or Chief 176
CHAPTER 16. SO, DO YOU THINK YOU STILL NEED
ONE-ON-ONE COACHING? 180
Overview
Your Career Goals
Past Client Characteristics
What Some of the Research Says About Coaching
CHAPTER 17. SAMPLE CANDIDATE FEEDBACK REPORTS 187
Overview
There is No Standard Report Format
Candidate 5
Candidate 18
Exhibits Appendix
<i>References</i>
Suggested Reading List
<i>Index</i>

xviii

# **EXHIBITS**

Page
Exhibit 1: Police Sergeant Skill Dimensions, Definitions and
Importance Percentage (%) Weights Out of One-hundred
Percent (%)
Exhibit 2: Police Detective Skill Dimensions, Definitions and
Importance Percentage (%) Weights for Day and Night Shifts
Out of One-hundred Percent (%)
Exhibit 3: Coaching Skills Assessor Guide
Exhibit 4: Leadership Skills Assessor Guide
Exhibit 5: Interpersonal Skills Assessor Guide
1
Exhibit 6. Debewievel Anchored Deting Scale (DADS) for
Exhibit 6: Behavioral Anchored Rating Scale (BARS) for
Organizational Chart Review and 60–90 Days Question 219
Exhibit 7: The Five-Point Rating Scale
<b>Exhibit 8:</b> A Different Five-Point Rating Scale
Exhibit 9: A Ten-Point Rating Scale
0
E-L:L: 10. ADC Delice Demontry and Ly Deplet E-reasing
Exhibit 10: ABC Police Department In-Basket Exercise
Lieutenant Assessor Guide
Exhibit 11: ABC Police Department Oral, With Notes In-Basket
Exercise Sergeant Assessor Guide

<b>Exhibit 12:</b> ABC Police Department Oral, With Written Scenarios Notes In-Basket Exercise Sergeant Assessor Guide
Exhibit 13: ABC Police Department Written Scenarios In-Basket Exercise Sergeant Assessor Guide
Exhibit 14: ABC Police Department Community Policing Problem Analysis Lieutenant Assessor Guide
Exhibit 15: ABC Police Department Written Essay(s) Exercise Captain Assessor Guide
Exhibit 16: ABC Police Department Subordinate Counseling Exercise Sergeant Assessor Guide
Exhibit 17: ABC Police Department Subordinate Counseling Exercise Sergeant Role-Player Script
Exhibit 18: ABC Police Department Problem Analysis Oral Presentation Exercise Lieutenant Assessor Guide
<b>Exhibit 19:</b> ABC Police Department Critical Incident Exercise Lieutenant Assessor Guide
<b>Exhibit 20:</b> ABC Police Department Program Development Written and Oral Presentation Exercise Captain Assessor Guide
Exhibit 21: ABC Police Department Leaderless Group Discussion Exercise Lieutenant Assessor Guide
<b>Exhibit 22:</b> ABC Police Department Bias in Policing Problem Analysis Oral Presentation Exercise Sergeant Assessor Guide 326
<b>Exhibit 23:</b> ABC Police Department Non-Lethal Use of Force Training Exercise Sergeant Assessor Guide

<i>Exhibits</i>
-----------------

Exhibit 24: ABC Police Department Body Cameras Problem
Analysis Oral Presentation and Written Exercise Lieutenant
Assessor Guide
Exhibit 25: ABC Police Department President's Task Force on
21st Century Policing Written and Oral Presentation
Exercise Captain or Deputy Chief Assessor Guide
Exhibit 96. APC Police Department Culture Change Weitten
Exhibit 26: ABC Police Department Culture Change Written
and Oral Presentation Exercise Police Chief Assessor Guide 352

# MASTERING THE ASSESSMENT CENTER PROCESS

## Chapter 1

### INTRODUCTION

This book has been written for all police officers who want to be promoted, whether to sergeant, lieutenant, captain, deputy or assistant chief or chief or any person from a state or federal law enforcement agency. And anyone interested in learning more about the assessment center process of candidate evaluation, to include practitioners working in human resource departments or Industrial Organizational psychologists and the like may also find this book useful. This book is not designed to teach HR professionals how to design an assessment center process, nor is it to be considered a comprehensive textbook on the subject.

The majority of the chapters in this book contain sample exercises which you can complete, and then later review with the Assessor Guides, role player scripts and other material contained in the Exhibits Appendix. Sample candidate reports may be found in Chapter 16.

It is not necessary to read the chapters consecutively because each chapter is written to stand alone. If you choose to start reading in the middle or near the end of the book, you will not feel that what you just learned is disconnected from another chapter. I do, however, recommend that if you have little or no understanding of the assessment center (AC) process, that you read the book from cover to cover.

## Chapter 2

# YOUR CANDIDATE TRAINING SCRIPT

#### **OVERVIEW**

Throughout my career designing AC processes one of the important components is the candidate orientation. Orienting candidates somewhere between one and two weeks before the process is relatively standard. Candidate orientation should never be excluded from any process because of budgetary or time constraints, nor should it be done just a day or so before the process. However, some agencies do not give candidates much advance notice. Nevertheless, candidates should know quite fully what they are going to be experiencing, which includes not only an overview of what an AC process is about, but how they will be scored, etc.

Most of this chapter is designed so that you will learn much of what assessors are taught in their training. The material was prepared initially for one of my clients. I decided that because the agency had never before used AC methodology, I wanted them to use the best process my firm could provide, so I created an abbreviated assessortraining manual.

You might envision yourself sitting in the training room of your department. Then:

- Clear your desk or work space of instructional materials and distracting objects;
- Pretend that I am in the room making a formal presentation to you using the following material;
- Prepare to read very carefully.

#### THE ASSESSMENT CENTER PROCESS

Pretend you are one rank above the position you currently hold. Assume that you are participating in an assessor training program for your department. Pretend also that this section of the book is part of the trainer's script. What you will read starts out very broadly, then goes into great detail, and then repeats some concepts to reinforce your learning.

The term "AC" refers to a standardized system used for identifying an individual's strengths and weaknesses for a predetermined purpose, such as a promotion or employee development. An AC is a process not a place. The AC is a testing methodology. The term "assessment" usually refers to a comprehensive, multi-faceted view of an individual in which information gleaned from a variety of measurements is brought together. The information that is derived is related to the critical job dimensions for the target position and is designed to predict who will be successful in that position.

The usual assessment center program lasts from one to two days and may last longer depending on the number of exercises used. That duration depends on the complexity of the target position. The participants go through four to six exercises specifically designed to elicit behaviors which have been identified as important to effective performance in the position. These key behaviors are identified through a comprehensive job analysis of the position. This job analysis is one key factor which supports the legal defensibility of the assessment process. As the candidates go through each exercise, the trained assessors observe and record the behaviors demonstrated in each candidate's performance. After all the behavioral simulations are completed by the candidates, the assessors prepare an assessor integration discussion with other assessors who have observed the same participants.

Each assessor evaluates each skill dimension based on the evidence presented from all the exercises, and a numerical rating for each dimension is determined independently by each assessor. The numerical ratings assigned by the assessors are compared, and an integration discussion ensues until a group consensus on a single numerical rating for each dimension is reached. This discussion is vital to the success of the assessment process in that the assessors must discuss all the behavioral data collected and agree upon standards in reaching the consensus rating assigned. After a consensus is reached on each of the dimensions, the final profile of the candidate is achieved. This final profile provides each candidate with their scores from all assessors across all exercises and skill dimensions.

#### A Brief History

The first major documented use of AC procedures in American industry was a monumental study called the Management Progress Study which was conducted at AT&T beginning in 1956 (Bray, 1964; Bray & Grant, 1966; Bray, Campbell & Grant, 1974). The study involved four-hundred and twenty-two recently hired males whose progress had been followed to determine their range of professional growth and the characteristics which led to their success in management. The results of the initial eight-year "predictive validity study" were quite positive. Eighty-five percent of the individuals who successfully reached the middle management level had been correctly identified by the assessment process (Bray & Grant, 1966; Thornton & Byham, 1982).

Over the approximately sixty years since the AC method was used in the private sector including such companies as AT&T and JC Penny, the method which was used to study adult development has expanded to a great many countries (Lievens & Thornton, 2005). After its use became common in the U.S. it gained acceptance in the United Kingdom and then was adopted by Germany, Japan, South Africa and then other country participants, who attended one of the International Congress conferences on the AC method, including Sweden, South Korea, India, Indonesia, Kuwait, Brazil, Venezuela, Russia, China, Singapore, and Malaysia (Thornton & Krause, 2009). Based on research by Thornton and Rupp (2006), the method has gained acceptance in other fields during the last fifty years, including developmental planning and advanced training. And soon after the AT&T Management Progress Study (Howard & Bray, 1988) was completed, other large corporations found that the AC method was able to identify those who had managerial talent (Thornton & Byham, 1982; Thornton & Krause, 2009).

With that background, let's go back to the years before AC was widely adopted by private industry. The use of AC assessment and selection methods had been evolving and accelerating since its first use in this country by the Office of Strategic Services, which later became the Central Intelligence Agency. That agency assessed 5,391